### Appendix 1 to Item 5 BLTB 17 November 2016

### Berkshire Local Transport Body - 17 November 2016

2.01 Newbury: Kings Road Link Road

### Highlights of progress since July 2016

The pre-commencement planning conditions have all been satisfied.

The demolition contractor has been appointed and the pre-commencement meeting with them took place on 18th October.

Started on site on Monday 24th October.

### 1. The Scheme

1.1. The scheme is the delivery of the Kings Road Link Road in Newbury. It is a new direct link between the Hambridge Road industrial area and the A339 to support housing delivery and significantly improve access to a key employment area.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. The Western Area Planning Committee recommended approval for the scheme on 18th March 2015 and referred it to the District Planning Committee (DPC) for final decision. The DPC considered the planning application on 25th March and granted approval.
- 2.2. The developer has vacant possession of the site.
- 2.3. The Council needed to acquire a small section of the route for the scheme to go ahead. This has been bought and is legally in the Council's ownership.
- 2.4. Demolition commenced on 24<sup>th</sup> October. The delays have been in part due to some difficulties of gathering sufficient survey information relating to buildings that are, in part, hazardous to access.
- 2.5. Network Rail is currently on site replacing the rail bridge adjacent to the redevelopment site. This started in January 2016 and good progress is being made. This provides an opportunity to make a single lane bridge (operating a give way / priority system) a two-way bridge when it is replaced. The approach to the bridge is to be widened to achieve this which involves the use of a small part of the land involved in the redevelopment scheme. The land owner / developer has accommodated this benefit to the transport network within the planning application.

### 3. Funding

3.1. The table below sets out the proposed funding profile for the scheme. This reflects a slight change with the two years of LEP funding being switched around when compared to the original programme.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local Growth Deal	-	1,340,000	1,000,000	-	-	-	2,340,000
Local contributions from							
- Section 106 agreements	230,000	270,000	-	-	-	-	500,000
- Council Capital Programme	140,000	180,000	60,000	-	-	-	380,000
- Other sources	1,010,000	600,000	-	-	-	-	1,610,000
Total Scheme Cost	1,380,000	2,390,000	1,060,000				4,830,000

### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
	A legal agreement will secure the delivery of
Delivery of scheme being delayed and not	the scheme within the required timescales.
fitting with BLTB funding.	Ongoing discussions with the developer and
	regular project meeting updates.
	Ongoing assessment of costs as further
Faceleting costs	details of the scheme are developed.
Escalating costs	Opportunities being explored for any
	additional funding sources.

#### **Programme** 5.

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	14 July 2013	
Independent Assessment of FBC	October 2014	
Financial Approval from LTB	Due November 2014	approval granted 9 March
Acquisition of statutory powers	Planning Permission due November 2014	Planning approval granted March 2015
Detailed design	Complete by February 2016	
Procurement	March / April 2016	
Start of construction	May 2016	24 October 2016
Completion of construction	November 2017	
One year on evaluation	November 2018	
Five years on evaluation	November 2022	

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme			
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.01 Newbury Kings Road Link Road	27 October 2016		
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date		
Inputs				
Expenditure	£4,830,000			
Funding breakdown				
Local Growth Deal	£2,340,000			
s.106 and similar contributions	£2,110,000	£67,000		
Council Capital Programme	£380,000	6,000		
Other	-			
In-kind resources provided	£20,000	£10,000		
Outcomes				
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	150	0		
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	-	-		
Housing unit starts	177	0		
Housing units completed	177	0		

2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention		
Transport		
Outputs		
Total length of resurfaced roads	n/a	-
Total length of newly built roads	230 metres	0
Total length of new cycle ways	n/a	-
Type of infrastructure	Highway	
Type of service improvement	New road link in key town cer	ntre location
Outcomes		
Follow on investment at site	n/a	-
Commercial floor space occupied	n/a	-
Commercial rental values	n/a	-

### 2.02 Bracknell - Warfield Link Road

Highlights of progress since July 2016
The construction of the road began in Feb 15 and is on programme

#### 1. The Scheme

1.1. The project involves building a road to unlock a Strategic Development Location in Bracknell Forest (for 2,200 new dwellings, schools, neighbourhood centre, open space, SANGs and other infrastructure and facilities). The link road crosses the middle of the site and will serve as access for many of the development parcels. One of the developers for approximately 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the development for the benefit of the whole development intends to build the road. However, the development is currently experiencing viability problems as a result. The construction of the link road is essential to achieve an early start on-site because it provides access benefits to housing parcels for the developer and other 3<sup>rd</sup> party sites within the wider Warfield development; and access to a new primary school which has to be also built early to allow the development to proceed.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Following independent assessment approval the scheme has started on site and progressing well
- 2.2. The scheme is being delivered in partnership with the developer, who are a majority land owner. The scheme remains on programme
- 2.3. In Sept 2016 the first part of the road was opened up to allow access to the new school which serves the development site and surrounding area.

### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP	3,500,000						3,500,000
Local Growth Deal	3,500,000	1	1	ı	_	_	3,300,000
Local contributions							
from							
- Section 106		1,700,000					1,700,000
agreements	ı	1,700,000	ı	ı	_	_	1,700,000
- Council Capital							
Programme	-	_	_	_	_	_	-
- Other sources	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Scheme Cost</b>	3,500,000	1,700,000					5,200,000

### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
That the overall cost of the link road exceeds the funding available	Detailed BOQ with Effective Site and contract management
2 Statutory undertakers C4 cost estimates significantly exceed C3 cost estimates	Liaise with statutory undertakers and early commission of C4 estimates
3 A delay on the development impacting on the need for the road and delaying the programme	Liaison with developers and review agreement re programme
4 Unexpected need for additional	Liaison with Traffic Management section and

Temporary Traffic Management increasing costs	early quantification of TM cost
5 Slower construction of the road due to physical constraints	Early engagement and partnership working with key interested parties such as the environment agency.

5. **Programme** 

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	24 July 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	Due October 2014	
Financial Approval from LTB	Due November 2014	Jan 2015
Feasibility work	complete	
Acquisition of statutory powers	Not needed	
Detailed design	March 2015	Jan 2015
Procurement	Developer s278 agreement	
Start of construction	April 2015	Feb 2015
Completion of construction	March 2017	
One year on evaluation	March 2018	
Five years on evaluation	March 2022	

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme			
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.02 Bracknell – Warfield Link Road	27 Oct 2016		
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date		
Inputs				
Expenditure	£5,200,000			
Funding breakdown				
Local Growth Deal	£3,500,000	£3,500,000		
s.106 and similar contributions	£1,700,000			
Council Capital Programme	-			
Other	-			
In-kind resources provided		£30,000		
Outcomes				
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	0			
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	0			
Housing unit starts	750	301		
Housing units completed	2200	214		
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention				
Transport				
Outputs				
Total length of resurfaced roads	Approximately 100m of	Underway		

	resurfaced road		
Total length of newly built roads	Approximately 750-1000m of newly built road.	650m	
Total length of new cycle ways	Approximately 750-1000m of new cycleways adjacent to proposed link road.	650m	
Type of infrastructure	New link road to allow for access to new development		
Type of service improvement	Unlocking proposed developr	ment.	
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	Not applicable	-	
Commercial floor space occupied	Not applicable	-	
Commercial rental values	Not applicable	-	

### 2.03 Newbury - London Road Industrial Estate

### Highlights of progress since July 2016

Phase 1 of the scheme (A339 widening) is nearing completion.

Phase 2 has started with the demolition of buildings to the east of the A339.

The scheme has been enhanced through combining with Challenge Fund money.

The scheme is progressing well and is on track.

### 1. The Scheme

1.1. This scheme is a new junction on the A339 in Newbury and associated widening to provide access to the London Road Industrial Estate (LRIE) which will unlock its potential for redevelopment. The scheme will open up a 10-hectare edge of town centre site for redevelopment and employment intensification. The proposal will unlock the potential for additional housing delivery and encourage an extension to the vibrant town centre.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Planning permission was granted for the scheme on 4<sup>th</sup> February 2015.
- 2.2. Financial approval was given for the scheme by the BLTB following confirmation from White Young Green in relation to the supporting Business Case (letter 9<sup>th</sup> March 2015).
- 2.3. Although much of the scheme is within highway land and the LRIE is a Council asset, a parcel of land (within the LRIE) needed for the delivery of the scheme is on a long lease. The Council's preferred approach to acquiring this land is through negotiation. These negotiations have now been successful enabling Phase 2 of the scheme to start on site starting with the demolition of the existing buildings.
- 2.4. Phase 1 which is the widening of the A339 is progressing well and is on track with landscaping planned for November 2016.
- 2.5. The Council was awarded Challenge Fund money for the A339 and has been able to enhance this scheme as a result and deliver the Challenge Fund objectives at the same time using the same contractor. This means economies of scale can be made in some areas and more will be delivered as a result.
- 2.6. The scheme and the redevelopment of the industrial estate that it will unlock is a long standing objective within Newbury Vision 2025. This vision document is seen very much as a community project and annual conferences in relation to its delivery are very well attended by all sectors of the Newbury community.
- 2.7. The redevelopment of the industrial estate and the highways scheme are both included in Council plans and documents the latest of which (Housing Site Allocations DPD) has recently completed a consultation period. Both political parties wish to see the redevelopment of this area which this scheme will enable.
- 2.8. The Council has appointed a development partner (St. Modwen) for the redevelopment project. This is an indication of the commitment of the Council to the wider project and has the full support of the Executive.

### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the road access scheme on the basis of a provisional funding profile.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local Growth Deal	£500,000	£1,400,000	-	-	-	-	£1,900,000
Local contributions from							
- Section 106 agreements	£250,000	-	-	-	-	-	£250,000

- Council Capital Programme - Other sources	£100,000	£150,000	-	-	-	-	£250,000
Total Scheme Cost	£850,000	£1,550,000	-	-	-	-	£2,400,000

## 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
Escalating costs	Ongoing assessment of costs as further details of the scheme are developed. Opportunities being explored for any additional funding sources. Scheme has been combined with the delivery of a linked scheme using Challenge Fund money so that some economies of scale can be achieved.

5. Programme

1 Togrammo		
Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	24 July 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	October 2014	
Financial Approval from LTB	Due November 2014	Full approval 9 March 2015
Feasibility work	Complete	
Acquisition of statutory powers	Planning due February 2015 CPO as back up to negotiation with lease holder	Planning permission granted 4 February 2015. Authority to proceed with CPO gained July 2015 (now not needed).
Detailed design	trial pits and other investigation underway	Complete
Procurement	Aug 2014 – March 2015	Dec 2014 – September 2015
Start of construction	August 2015	February 2016
Completion of construction	May 2016	March 2017
One year on evaluation	May 2017	March 2018
Five years on evaluation	May 2021	March 2022

## 6. Growth Deal Reporting Framework

6.1. The following table is an extract from the Growth Deal reporting matrix. The entries made here will be reported on a project by project basis.

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport sch	Transport scheme		
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.03 Newbury - London Road Industrial Estate	27 October 2016		
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date		
Inputs				
Expenditure	£2,400,000	£2,030,000		
Funding breakdown				
Local Growth Deal	£1,900,000	£1,600,000		
s.106 and similar contributions	£250,000	£250,000		
Council Capital Programme	£180,000	£100,000		

Other	-	
In-kind resources provided	£70,000	£80,000
Outcomes		
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	1,000	0
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	14,000	0
Housing unit starts	300	0
Housing units completed	300	0
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention		
Transport		
Outputs		
Total length of resurfaced roads	400 metres (one lane)	0
Total length of newly built roads	400 metres (one lane) plus 70 metres (2 lanes)	0
Total length of new cycle ways	390 metres	0
Total length of new footways	390 metres	0
Type of infrastructure	New road junction	
Type of service improvement	New access link and associat improvements in central town	
Outcomes		
Follow on investment at site	Exact amount not yet known but development partner, St Modwen will be investing significantly	0
Commercial floor space occupied	14,000 m2	0
Commercial rental values	Not yet known	0

### 2.04.2 Wokingham - North Wokingham Distributor Road

### Highlights of progress since July 2016

Wokingham Borough Council attended a meeting with DfT on the 29 September 2016 to discuss their requirements with regard to the completion of the business cases and financial approval.

DfT confirmed that approval of the final full business case (FBC) would be after confirmation of the tender price for construction as this value must be included. On this basis the FBC would be submitted and final approval given only a few weeks before the start of construction. However, DfT has advised they would be pleased to receive elements of the business case as and when they are ready for comment e.g. Strategic Case, Financial Case and Management Case.

At the meeting WBC asked if the DfT funding, which is currently shared across three schemes, could be reallocated to just one scheme, i.e. Arborfield Cross Relief Road, or whether a single business case for North & South Wokingham Distributor Roads would be acceptable. DfT confirmed that in principle they would be happy to discuss a different approach but would need WBC to confirm that TVBLEP are also in agreement.

WBC is therefore looking at their current funding contributions to determine if this is possible as it would make the financial approval process much more streamlined. WBC will need to formally agree any change to the funding approach with TVBLEP before discussing further with the DfT.

#### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1. A new road that will provide access to 1,500 new homes, community facilities and commercial development and form a link around the north of Wokingham town. The development cannot come forward without the road. The road is being delivered in multiple stages:
  - (1) Kentwood Farm West (currently on site)
  - (2) Kentwood Farm East
  - (3) 94 Toutley Road to Twyford Road aka Matthewsgreen Farm (under construction, work started January 2016)
  - (4) Keephatch Beech
  - (5) Bell Foundry Lane
  - (6) Toutley Road section
  - (7) A329 Reading Road to Toutley Road

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Feasibility work has been undertaken on a number of route options; the options have all been out to full public consultation and the responses have been analysed.
- 2.2. A consultation report has been considered by the Council Executive which details the publics preferred route. The council has agreed to fund further work as identified in the consultation to undertake further analysis of suggested 'tweaks' to the preferred route.
- 2.3. Work at Kentwood Farm continues which includes the construction of part of the distributor road that passes through the site. The site is expected to be built out (274 houses) by 2018.
- 2.4. Discussions with developers on other sites in North Wokingham continue
- 2.5. Work on the refinement of the North Wokingham Distributor Road Option B has been completed. The preferred route for the road was discussed and a decision made at Council on the 24 September 2015.
- 2.6. The programme for delivery is phased as it is dependent upon development coming forward. Early delivery of the road would encourage developers to bring sites forward and funding for the scheme could potentially then be repaid from s106 / CIL contributions.

### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of our unapproved funding profile.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from							
LEP Local	-	-	-	-	£3,000,000	£3,100,000	£6,100,000
Growth Deal							
Local							
contributions		£1,041,705	£2,919,418	£10,733,803	£463,223	£6,258,113	£23,840,000*
from							
- Section 106							
agreements	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
- Council							
Capital	_	_	_	_	_	-	-
Programme							
- Other	CEOO 000	C4 100 000	C6 222 000	C2 027 000			C12 950 000
sources	£500,000	£4,100,000	£6,323,000	£2,927,000	-	-	£13,850,000
Total							
Scheme	£500,000	£5,141,705	£9,242,418	£13,660,803	£3,463,223	£9,358,113	£41,366,262**
Cost							

<sup>\*</sup>Additional costs post 2020/21- Local Contributions - £2,423,738 \*\*Total Scheme costs estimated at £43,790,000

#### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
Proposed route is not agreed.	Comprehensive consultation has been completed. The consultation results along with an officer recommendation for the optimal route have been presented to the Council's executive. Further work to refine the route alignment has been started.
Planning permission not being granted for the scheme.	Officers will have detailed pre-application discussions to address any issues of concern early on as part of the detailed design process.
Developments in North Wokingham SDL not progressing as planned	The programme for delivery is phased as it is dependent upon development coming forward. Early delivery of the road would encourage developers to bring sites forward and funding for the scheme could potentially then be repaid from s106 / CIL contributions.

5. Programme

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	14 July 2013	
Independent Assessment of FBC	Autumn 2015 at the earliest	Oct 2019
Financial Approval from DfT	Due Late 2015	Late 2019
Feasibility work	Complete – awaiting final approval	
Acquisition of statutory powers	Planning permission required: application due 2015	Sept 2018
Detailed design	Alignment to be approved in June 2015; detailed design to be completed 2016	Dec 2018
Procurement	To follow	Jul 2019

Start of construction	2016	Dec 2019
Completion of construction	2020	Jun 2021
One year on evaluation	2021	2022
Five years on evaluation	2025	2026

<b>Growth Deal Schemes:</b>	Transport sch	eme	
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.04.2 Wokingham – North Wokingham Distributor Road	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	tbc	-	
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£6,100,000	C	
s.106 and similar contributions	tbc	-	
Council Capital Programme	tbc	-	
Other In-kind resources provided	Estimate required		
Outcomes	Lottinate required		
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	0	-	
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	A share of 25,000	-	
Housing unit starts	A share of 4,000	-	
Housing units completed	A share of 4,000	-	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	Estimate required		
Total length of newly built roads	Estimate required		
Total length of new cycle ways	Estimate required	-	
Type of infrastructure	New road	-	
Type of service improvement	Enabling housing developme	nt	
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	Estimate required		
Commercial floor space occupied	Estimate required		
Commercial rental values	Estimate required	-	
3. ADDITIONAL MONITORING - for specific schemes	Listimate required	-	
Transport - to be collected for all projects/prografunding and where these metrics and the collected			
Average daily traffic and by peak/non-peak periods	Estimate required		

Average AM and PM peak journey time per mile on key routes (journey time measurement)	Estimate required
Average AM and PM peak journey time on key routes (journey time measurement)	Estimate required
Day-to-day travel time variability	Estimate required
Average annual CO2 emissions	Estimate required
Accident rate	Estimate required
Casualty rate	Estimate required
Nitrogen Oxide and particulate emissions	Estimate required
Traffic noise levels at receptor locations	Estimate required
Annual average daily and peak hour passenger boardings	n/a
Bus/light rail travel time by peak period	n/a
Mode share (%)	n/a
Pedestrians counts on new/existing routes (#)	n/a
Cycle journeys on new/existing routes (#)	n/a
Households with access to specific sites by mode within threshold times (#)	n/a

### 2.04.3 Wokingham – South Wokingham Distributor Road

### Highlights of progress since July 2016

Wokingham Borough Council attended a meeting with DfT on the 29 September 2016 to discuss their requirements with regard to the completion of the business cases and financial approval.

DfT confirmed that approval of the final full business case (FBC) would be after confirmation of the tender price for construction as this value must be included. On this basis the FBC would be submitted and final approval given only a few weeks before the start of construction. However, DfT has advised they would be pleased to receive elements of the business case as and when they are ready for comment e.g. Strategic Case, Financial Case and Management Case.

At the meeting WBC asked if the DfT funding, which is currently shared across three schemes, could be reallocated to just one scheme, i.e. Arborfield Cross Relief Road, or whether a single business case for North & South Wokingham Distributor Roads would be acceptable. DfT confirmed that in principle they would be happy to discuss a different approach but would need WBC to confirm that TVBLEP are also in agreement.

WBC is therefore looking at their current funding contributions to determine if this is possible as it would make the financial approval process much more streamlined. WBC will need to formally agree any change to the funding approach with TVBLEP before discussing further with the DfT.

#### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1. The completed road will provide access to 2,500 new homes, a primary school, community facilities and retail development and form a new link around the south of Wokingham town. The development cannot come forward without the road. The road will be brought forward in 4 stages:
  - (1) Montague Park (on site, being provided by the developer)
  - (2) Eastern Gateway (WBC working with Network Rail, Planning application work has commenced)
  - (3) Spine Road & Western Gateway Phase 1
  - (4) Western Gateway Phase 2

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Feasibility work has been completed on a number of different route options for the South Wokingham Distributor Road. The first section of the route is already being built through Montague Park (formally Buckhurst Park). The new junction on to the existing A329 is complete and in operation.
- 2.2. A public consultation exercise where the results the feasibility work were presented was undertaken during the summer that ran from the end of June to the end of August.
- 2.3. Discussions are ongoing with developers for the remainder of the development sites in South Wokingham.
- 2.4. Work at Montague Park is continuing. The site is expected to be built out by 2020.
- 2.5. Discussions with developers on other sites in South Wokingham continue.
- 2.6. The results of the feasibility study consultation along with an officer recommendation for the optimal route was be presented to the Council's executive in November 2014 and subsequently agreed.
- 2.7. The programme for delivery is phased as it is dependent upon development coming forward. Early delivery of the road would encourage developers to bring sites forward and funding for the scheme could potentially then be repaid from s106 / CIL contributions.
- 2.8. Design work with Network Rail for the provision of a new road bridge over the Waterloo Main line has commenced. This will enable to the delivery of the section of the Distribution Road known as the Eastern Gateway.

#### **Funding** 3.

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of our unapproved funding profile.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from							
LEP Local	-	-	-	-	£4,300,000	-	£4,300,000
Growth Deal							
Local							
contributions	£144,209	£1,557,555	£1,693,896	£7,123,012	£7,960,948	£9,737,617	£28,217,237
from							
- Section 106							
agreements	-	_	-	-	-	-	
- Council							
Capital	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Programme							
- Other	£1,957,000						£1,957,000-
sources	£1,957,000		-	-	-	-	£1,957,000-
Total							
Scheme	£2,101,209	£1,557,555	£1,693,896	£7,123,012	£12,260,948	£9,737,617	£34,474,237**
Cost							

## **Risks**

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
Proposed route is not agreed.	Comprehensive consultation completed. The consultation along with an officer recommendation for the optimal route will has been presented to the Council's executive and agreed. Risk has been mitigated.
Planning permission not being granted for the scheme.	Officers will have detailed pre-application discussions to address any issues of concern early on as part of the detailed design process.
Developments in South Wokingham SDL not progressing as planned	The programme for delivery is phased as it is dependent upon development coming forward. Early delivery of the road would encourage developers to bring sites forward and funding for the scheme could potentially then be repaid from s106 / CIL contributions.
Developers failing to reach an agreement with Network Rail on the delivery of a new bridge over the railway.	Officers are meeting with the development consortium to maintain momentum and to be aware of issues arising.

#### 5. **Programme**

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	14 July 2013	
Independent Assessment of	due March 2016 at the earliest and not	TBC.
FBC	before 2.04.2 North Wokingham DR	
Financial Approval from LTB	due July 2016	TBC.
Feasibility work	recommendation to Council Executive on	Completed

<sup>\*</sup>Additional costs post 2020/21- Local Contributions - £127,763
\*\*Total Scheme costs estimated at £36,602,000 (includes £2,000,000 pre. 2-15/16)

	route options Autumn 2014	
Acquisition of statutory powers	not before 2.04.2 North Wokingham DR	TBC.
Detailed design	not before 2.04.2 North Wokingham DR	TBC.
Procurement	To follow	TBC.
Start of construction	2018	
Completion of construction	2021	
One year on evaluation	2022	
Five years on evaluation	2026	

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme		
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.04.3 Wokingham – South Wokingham Distributor Road	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	£4,300,000	0	
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	Tbc	-	
s.106 and similar contributions	Tbc	-	
Council Capital Programme	Tbc	-	
Other	-		
In-kind resources provided	Estimate required	-	
Outcomes			
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	0	-	
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	A share of 25,000	-	
Housing unit starts	A share of 4,000	-	
Housing units completed	A share of 4,000	-	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	Estimate required	-	
Total length of newly built roads	Estimate required	-	
Total length of new cycle ways	Estimate required	-	
Type of infrastructure	New road		
Type of service improvement	Enabling housing developme	nt	
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	Estimate required	-	
Commercial floor space occupied	Estimate required	-	
Commercial rental values	Estimate required	-	
3. ADDITIONAL MONITORING - for specific schemes			

Transport - to be collected for all projects/prografunding and where these metrics and the collected	
Average daily traffic and by peak/non-peak periods	Estimate required -
Average AM and PM peak journey time per mile on key routes (journey time measurement)	Estimate required -
Average AM and PM peak journey time on key routes (journey time measurement)	Estimate required -
Day-to-day travel time variability	Estimate required -
Average annual CO2 emissions	Estimate required -
Accident rate	Estimate required -
Casualty rate	Estimate required -
Nitrogen Oxide and particulate emissions	Estimate required -
Traffic noise levels at receptor locations	Estimate required -
Annual average daily and peak hour passenger boardings	n/a
Bus/light rail travel time by peak period	n/a
Mode share (%)	n/a
Pedestrians counts on new/existing routes (#)	n/a
Cycle journeys on new/existing routes (#)	n/a
Households with access to specific sites by mode within threshold times (#)	n/a

### 2.04.4 Wokingham - Arborfield Relief Road

### Highlights of progress since July 2016

Wokingham Borough Council attended a meeting with DfT on the 29 September 2016 to discuss their requirements with regard to the completion of the business cases and financial approval.

DfT confirmed that approval of the final full business case (FBC) would be after confirmation of the tender price for construction as this value must be included. On this basis the FBC would be submitted and final approval given only a few weeks before the start of construction. However, DfT has advised they would be pleased to receive elements of the business case as and when they are ready for comment e.g. Strategic Case, Financial Case and Management Case.

At the meeting WBC asked if the DfT funding, which is currently shared across three schemes, could be reallocated to just one scheme, i.e. Arborfield Cross Relief Road, or whether a single business case for North & South Wokingham Distributor Roads would be acceptable. DfT confirmed that in principle they would be happy to discuss a different approach but would need WBC to confirm that TVBLEP are also in agreement.

WBC is therefore looking at their current funding contributions to determine if this is possible as it would make the financial approval process much more streamlined. WBC will need to formally agree any change to the funding approach with TVBLEP before discussing further with the DfT.

#### 1. The Scheme

1.1. The Arborfield distributor road will provide relief to the existing A327 through the Village of Arborfield and also Arborfield Cross Gyratory to accommodate and reduce the traffic impacts of strategic development at Arborfield Garrison and South of the M4 (Shinfield and Spencer's Wood). The Arborfield SDL calls for 3,500 new homes.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. This is the fourth part of the Distributor Roads programme, and while preliminary works have been completed to justify the need for the scheme, detailed work on the alignment of the road is programmed to follow on from the development of parts 1, 2 and 3.
- 2.2. Discussions with developers at Arborfield continue.
- 2.3. Work is progressing on the refinement of the Arborfield Relief Road alignment options to gain greater confidence in scheme delivery ahead of a later Executive decision to proceed with a Preferred Scheme for detailed design. This will lead to a business case for submission to DfT in 2015

## 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of our unapproved funding profile.

lariding profile.							
Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from							
LEP Local	_	-	-	_	£8,600,000	£5,000,000	£13,600,000
Growth Deal							
Local							
contributions		£665,599	£939,259	£3,102,137	£7,244,381	£687,839	£12,667,00*
from							
- Section 106							
agreements	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
- Council							
Capital	_	-	-	_	_	_	-
Programme							
- Other							
sources	_	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>\*</sup>Additional costs post 2020/21- Local Contributions - £1,803,000

### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
Proposed route is not agreed.	Comprehensive consultation will be undertaken in due course. The consultation along with an officer recommendation for the optimal route will be presented to the Council's executive.
Planning permission not being granted for the scheme.	Officers will have detailed pre-application discussions to address any issues of concern early on as part of the detailed design process.
Developments in Arborfield SDL not progressing as planned	The programme for delivery is phased as it is dependent upon development coming forward. Early delivery of the road would encourage developers to bring sites forward and funding for the scheme could potentially then be repaid from s106 / CIL contributions.

5. Programme

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	24 July 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	Autumn 2015 at the earliest	Oct 2018
Financial Approval from LTB	Early 2016 at the earliest	Early 2019
Feasibility work	Complete	
Acquisition of statutory powers	Planning permission required	Sept 2017
Detailed design	Underway in preparation for a planning application	Nov 2017
Procurement	To follow	Jul 2018
Start of construction	2016	Nov 2018
Completion of construction	2019	Jun 2020
One year on evaluation	2020	2021
Five years on evaluation	2024	2025

# 6. Growth Deal Reporting Framework

6.1. The following table is an extract from the Growth Deal reporting matrix. The entries made here will be reported on a project by project basis.

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme		
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.04.4 Wokingham – Arborfield Relief Road	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	tbc	-	
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£13,700,000	0	
s.106 and similar contributions	tbc	-	
Council Capital Programme	tbc	-	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Total Scheme costs estimated at £28,070,000

Other	-		
In-kind resources provided	Estimate required	-	
Outcomes			
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	0	-	
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	A share of 25,000	-	
Housing unit starts	A share of 4,000	-	
Housing units completed	A share of 4,000	-	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	Estimate required	-	
Total length of newly built roads	Estimate required	-	
Total length of new cycle ways	Estimate required	-	
Type of infrastructure	New road		
Type of service improvement	Enabling housing development		
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	Estimate required	-	
Commercial floor space occupied	Estimate required	-	
Commercial rental values	Estimate required	-	

3. ADDITIONAL MONITORING - for specific schemes		
Transport - to be collected for all projects/prografunding and where these metrics and the collected for all projects/prografunding and where these metrics and the collected for all projects/prografunding and where these metrics are the collected for all projects/prografunding and where these metrics are the collected for all projects/prografunding are the collected for all projects are the collected for all projects for all projects are the collected for all projects for all		
Average daily traffic and by peak/non-peak periods	Estimate required	-
Average AM and PM peak journey time per mile on key routes (journey time measurement)	Estimate required	-
Average AM and PM peak journey time on key routes (journey time measurement)	Estimate required	-
Day-to-day travel time variability	Estimate required	-
Average annual CO2 emissions	Estimate required	-
Accident rate	Estimate required	-
Casualty rate	Estimate required	-
Nitrogen Oxide and particulate emissions	Estimate required	-
Traffic noise levels at receptor locations	Estimate required	-
Annual average daily and peak hour passenger boardings	n/a	
Bus/light rail travel time by peak period	n/a	
Mode share (%)	n/a	
Pedestrians counts on new/existing routes (#)	n/a	
Cycle journeys on new/existing routes (#)	n/a	
Households with access to specific sites by mode within threshold times (#)	n/a	

### 2.05 Newbury - Sandleford Park

### Highlights of progress since July 2016

The Scheme gained full financial approval at the BLTB meeting in July.

The planning application is still being considered by West Berkshire Council Planning Authority. Negotiations are continuing with the Developer, Newbury College and the Council's Education Department in relation to the details of delivery of the scheme.

### 1. The Scheme

The purpose of this scheme is to deliver additional accesses to Sandleford Park, a strategic development site that will deliver up to 2,000 dwellings. This will ensure permeability through the site and better manage the impact on the highway network. There are two main elements: i) a new access from the A339, and ii) new junction arrangements on the A343 and the upgrading of a route to provide a suitable access. The scheme will also unlock land for a new primary school and for new enterprises seeking to build better links between business and education.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. The scheme received full financial approval from the Berkshire Local Transport Body at its meeting in July 2016.
- 2.2. West Berkshire Council is assessing a planning application for the Sandleford Park development.
- 2.3. A planning application is due to be submitted by West Berkshire Council's Education Service for Highwood Copse primary school. This planning application will include the full extent of the A339 access and road between the A339 and the Sandleford Park development area within its 'red line'.
- 2.4. Meetings continue to take place with Newbury College and the developer(s) in order to progress negotiations over land and contributions.
- 2.5. Regular project meetings are held in relation to the overall strategic residential scheme these include discussions on the access scheme and interaction with educational land uses associated with both the A343 Andover Road access and A339 Newtown Road access.
- 2.6. A VISSIM model has been built to assist with the planning application and business case. Having been used to examine the Sandleford Park residential-led development this is now being used to examine the impact of Highwood Copse primary school.
- 2.7. The parties involved in the scheme are: the Council, the developers and their agents, Newbury College.

# 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of a provisional funding profile.

Source of funding	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	Total
Amount from LEP Local Growth		1,000,000	1,400,000	500,000	2,900,000
Deal		1,000,000	1,400,000	300,000	2,900,000
Local contributions from					
- Section 106 Agreements &					
Private investment (Newbury		1,060,000	5,100,000	1,500,000	7,660,000
College)					
- Council Capital Programme		400,000			400,000
- Other sources					
Total Scheme Cost		2,460,000	6,500,000	2,000,000	10,960,000

### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
Timing of planning	There is close liaison with the Developers and their agents and
applications for housing and	frequent meetings discussing the wide range of topics associated
education development and	with the overall development. These channels of communication
road delivery not working	will be used to coordinate timing of accesses and how this links
together.	with planning applications and phases of development.
	The costs have been reviewed after more detailed work and
Facilities agets	additional funding secured from all parties as a result.
Escalating costs	The project team will continue to monitor costs closely as the
	project progresses.

# 5. Programme

Task	February 2015 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	19 March 2015	
Independent Assessment of FBC	January 2016 (provisional)	June 2016
Financial Approval from LTB	March 2016 (provisional)	July 2016
Feasibility work	Spring / Summer 2015	
	(provisional)	
Acquisition of statutory powers	Winter 2015/16 (provisional)	Autumn / Winter 2016
Detailed design	Summer 2015 (provisional)	Autumn 2016
Procurement	Autumn / Winter 2015/16	Summer 2017
	(provisional)	
Start of construction	April 2017 (provisional)	Autumn 2017
Completion of construction	March 2020 (provisional)	
One year on evaluation	March 2021 (provisional)	
Five years on evaluation	March 2025 (provisional)	

# 6. Growth Deal Reporting Framework

6.1. The following table is an extract from the Growth Deal reporting matrix. The entries made here will be reported on a project by project basis.

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme		
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.05 Newbury – Sandleford Park	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	£10,960,000		
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£2,900,000		
s.106 and similar contributions	£7,660,000		
Council Capital Programme	400,000	£20,000	
Other			
In-kind resources provided	£100,000	20,000	
Outcomes			
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	420	0	

Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	35,500	0	
Housing unit starts	2,000	0	
Housing units completed	2,000	0	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	400m	0	
Total length of newly built roads	450m	0	
Total length of new cycle ways	750m	0	
Total length of new footways	850m	0	
Type of infrastructure	New road junctions		
Type of service improvement	New highway access routes to enable 2,000 housing units		
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	Not yet known	0	
Commercial floor space occupied	Not yet known	0	
Commercial rental values	Not yet known	0	

### 2.06 Reading Green Park Railway Station

### Highlights of progress since July 2016

The DfT has confirmed that a third diesel unit for the line between Reading and Basingstoke will be funded from December 2018 to enable the new station to be served.

Design work is being progressed in partnership with Network Rail and GWR. An updated programme has been agreed between all parties for the scheme to be delivered by December 2018

A proposal to the New Stations Fund 2 is being prepared for submission in November.

### 1. The Scheme

1.1. Reading Green Park Station is a proposed new railway station on the Reading to Basingstoke line in south Reading. This scheme, which includes the station, multi-modal interchange and access road, would significantly improve accessibility and connectivity of the existing Green Park business park and surrounding area, and would help to enable delivery of the Green Park Village mixed use development.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. The full business case has been completed and reviewed by DfT Rail and the BLTB independent assessors, confirming the scheme represents good value for money in both a low and high forecast patronage scenario. Financial approval for the scheme was granted by the BLTB in November 2014.
- 2.2. Planning permission for the station, multi-modal interchange, car park and access road was granted by Reading Borough Council in April 2015 and West Berkshire Council in May 2015.
- 2.3. Design work for the scheme is being undertaken in partnership with Network Rail and FGW to ensure compliance with the latest railway standards. An updated scheme programme has been agreed between all parties for the scheme to be delivered by December 2018.
- 2.4. Discussions are on-going to identify any opportunities to align implementation of the station with other major upgrade works on the railway.
- 2.5. Confirmation that is scheduled to be complete by December 2018 was included within the Great Western franchise direct award. However the published
- 2.6. Electrification of the line from Southcote Junction to Basingstoke was delayed from December 2018 to an unspecified date between 2019 2024 as part of the Hendy Review, however the DfT has confirmed that a third diesel unit for the line between Reading and Basingstoke will be funded from December 2018 to enable the new station to be served.
- 2.7. The additional funding request was agreed by the BLTB in July, enabling the scheme to be delivered in line with the requirement to provide enhanced passenger facilities at the station, and a further proposal is currently being prepared for the New Stations Fund 2.
- 2.8. Liaison with nearby landowners is on-going to ensure coordination with the wider development plans for the area, including the mixed-use GreenPark Village development.
- 2.9. Scheme development is being undertaken in line with Network Rail's GRIP process and to take account of the latest developments from related projects such as Reading Station Redevelopment, Great Western Mainline Electrification, Electric Spine, East-West Rail and Western Rail Access to Heathrow (WRATH).
- 2.10. Engagement with GreenPark and Madejski Stadium has been initiated and operational discussions will follow at the appropriate time to ensure maximum accessibility for the station and connectivity with other public transport services.

### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme, however note that an application for additional funds has been submitted (as set out in para 2.4):

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local Growth Deal	1	-	£4,575,000	£4,575,000	-	-	£9,150,000
Local contributions from:							
- Section 106 agreements	ı	-	£4,600,000	1	-	-	£4,600,000
- Council Capital Programme	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	-	1
- Other sources	ı	-	-	ı	ı	-	£1,000,000
Total Scheme Cost			£9,175,000	£4,575,000			£14,750,000

## 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below:

Risk	Management of risk
Network Rail's revised electrification plan for the Reading-Basingstoke Branch creates delays	Current lobbying exercise led by RBC Cllrs; need to explore either delay or revive the plan for a diesel service if construction is not delayed
Business case does not meet DfT requirements for new stations.	Business case has been developed in partnership with Network Rail, FGW, and the DfT Rail Executive. The business case has been approved by the BLTB.
Planning permission is not granted.	Historic planning application has been updated to reflect the latest situation. Planning permission has been granted by both Reading and West Berkshire Councils.
It is not feasible to stop trains at the new station within the existing timetable.	Timetable capability assessment has been undertaken with Network Rail which confirms service options for the station which have been included in the scheme business case.
TOC does not agree to stop trains at the new station.	Scheme development is being undertaken in partnership with FGW, including preparation of the business case and design of the station.
Scheme costs significantly increase.	Costs are being reviewed and cost savings sought, contingency has been built into the overall scheme cost.

# 5. Programme

1 regramme		
Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	July 2013	
Feasibility work	March 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	October 2014	
Financial Approval from LTB	November 2014	
Acquisition of statutory powers	January 2015	May 2015
Detailed design	April 2015	May 2017
Procurement	September 2015	December 2017

Start of construction	October 2015	January 2018
Completion of construction	September 2016	November 2018
Open to public	December 2016	December 2018
One year on evaluation	September 2017	December 2019
Five years on evaluation	September 2021	December 2023

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme		
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.06 Reading Green Park Railway Station	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	£11,700,000		
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£6,400,000		
s.106 and similar contributions	£4,300,000		
Council Capital Programme	-		
Other (PRUPIM)	£1,000,000		
In-kind resources provided	£500,000		
Outcomes			
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	3,580		
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	68,000		
Housing unit starts	735		
Housing units completed	735		
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	230m		
Total length of newly built roads	250m		
Total length of new cycle ways	310m		
Type of infrastructure	Rail/public transport interchange		
Type of service improvement	Decongestion Benefits, Journey Time Savings Reliability Journey Ambience		
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	Development of GPV & GP Business Park		
Commercial floor space occupied	N/A		
Commercial rental values	N/A		

3. ADDITIONAL MONITORING - for specific schemes		
Transport - to be collected for all projects/prografunding and where these metrics and the collected for all projects/prografunding and where these metrics are the collected for all projects/prografunding and where these metrics are the collected for all projects/prografunding are the collected for all projects fo		
Average daily traffic and by peak/non-peak periods	n/a	
Average AM and PM peak journey time per mile on key routes (journey time measurement)	n/a	
Average AM and PM peak journey time on key routes (journey time measurement)	n/a	
Day-to-day travel time variability	n/a	
Average annual CO2 emissions	n/a	
Accident rate	n/a	
Casualty rate	n/a	
Nitrogen Oxide and particulate emissions	n/a	
Traffic noise levels at receptor locations	n/a	
Annual average daily and peak hour passenger boardings	4,109 High Growth 2,143 Low Growth 668 AM Peak 596 PM Peak	
Bus/light rail travel time by peak period	n/a	
Mode share (%)	8% for rail	
Pedestrians counts on new/existing routes (#)	New access – no existing count	
Cycle journeys on new/existing routes (#)	New access – no existing count	
Households with access to specific sites by mode within threshold times (#)	n/a	

### 2.07 Bracknell - Coral Reef Roundabout

### Highlights of progress since July 2016

The scheme is complete and working well.

Assessment of scheme to be carried out at least 12 months after completion in accordance with DfT guidance.

### 1. The Scheme

1.1. The Coral Reef roundabout is the first junction encountered as you enter Bracknell on the A322 heading from M3 J3 towards the A329, the A329(M) and the M4. Proposals are to convert the existing roundabout to a fully signalised crossroads that reduces delay on all arms and improves journey times along the route. These measures will improve access to existing employment areas and new developments, unlocking their economic potential and also assist in reducing carbon emissions. Benefits would also be felt by neighbouring LEP areas and assist in the overall control and coordination of the strategic corridor network within the Borough

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Works started on site 7th April 2015
- 2.2. The Coral Reef project is being delivered through a Principal Contractor (the Council's Highways Term Contract) which significantly streamlines the procurements process.
- 2.3. The project has progressed well and with good weather is expected to be complete in spring of 2016.

### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP	£2,100,000	_					£2,100,000
Local Growth Deal	£2,100,000	ı	-	ı	ı	ı	£2,100,000
Local contributions							
from							
- Section 106		C270 000					£270,000
agreements	-	£270,000	_	-	-	-	£270,000
- Council Capital		£640,000					£640,000
Programme	-	£040,000	_	-	-	-	£040,000
- Other sources	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Total Scheme	C2 400 00	CO40 000					C2 040 000
Cost	£2,100,00	£910,000					£3,010,000

### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
That the overall cost of the Coral Reef	Detailed Bill of Quantities with effective site
Junction exceeds the funding available	and contract management
Statutory undertakers C4 cost estimates	Early liaison with statutory undertakers and
significantly exceed C3 cost estimates	early commission of C4 estimates (underway)
Highway Works in neighbouring local	
authority area during construction leading to	Liaison with neighbouring authorities and
traffic congestion and possible impact on	agreement re. programme
programme and costs	
Unexpected need for additional Temporary	Liaison with Traffic Management Section and
Traffic Management increasing costs	early quantification of TM requirements and

	costs (underway)
--	------------------

5. **Programme** 

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	14 July 2013	
Independent Assessment of FBC	June 2014	Complete
Financial Approval from LTB	July 2014	Complete January 2015
Feasibility work		complete
Acquisition of statutory powers	None required	
Detailed design	October 2014	Complete Feb 2015
Procurement	Term contractor	complete
Start of construction	June 2015	April 2015
Completion of construction	November 2016	April 2016
One year on evaluation	November 2017	April 2017
Five years on evaluation	November 2021	April 2021

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport sch	eme	
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.07 Bracknell – Coral Reef Roundabout	27 Oct 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	£3,010,000	£3,010,000	
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£2,100,000	£2,100,000	
s.106 and similar contributions	£270,000	£270,000	
Council Capital Programme	£640,000	£640,000	
Other	-		
In-kind resources provided		£100,000	
Outcomes			
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	0	0	
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	0	0	
Housing unit starts	0	0	
Housing units completed	0	0	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	Approximately 2000m of resurfacing following implementation of the new traffic signals	Complete	
Total length of newly built roads	Approximately 100m following removal of the	Complete	

	roundabout and realignment of the carriageway.		
Total length of new cycle ways	Existing cycleway network runs adjacent to the junction and is unaffected by the works	N/A	
Type of infrastructure	Replacement of existing roun signalised junction	dabout with new	
Type of service improvement	Improvement to journey times following removal of an existing pinch point on the network.		
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	0	0	
Commercial floor space occupied	0	0	
Commercial rental values	0	0	

2.08 Slough: Rapid Transit Phase 1

### Highlights of progress since July 2016

Widening works between Upton Court Road and High Street, Langley underway Works near trading estate to start in mid-October 2016 Businesses near to signing agreement for the new service.

### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1. The A4 forms the spine of a 12km strategic public transport corridor that links Maidenhead, Slough and Heathrow and plays an important role in providing surface access to the airport. The western section of the Slough Mass Rapid Transit (SMaRT) project will provide for buses to operate along the service roads fronting Slough Trading Estate. Bus lanes and other priority measures will be provided in the central section between the estate, Slough town centre and eastwards to Junction 5 of the M4.
- 1.2. The scheme was given full financial approval by the BLTB at the 24th July 2014 meeting.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. A comprehensive report was put to the 15th September 2014 meeting of the Council's Cabinet. The Cabinet agreed to progress the scheme and gave permission to use CPO powers if necessary to assemble land.
- 2.2. Public consultation has been carried out and was presented to the Cabinet on 19<sup>th</sup> January 2015. The consultation highlighted some concerns about the design of the scheme and revisions have been made in discussion with stakeholders. Planning permission due imminently for elements of the scheme outside highway boundaries.
- 2.3. Procurement has proceeded in parallel with schemes 2.10 Slough: A332 Improvements and 2.17 Slough: A355 Route. Tenders have been sought, a contractor has been selected and the construction programme is under review to meet the LEP and Local Authority spend profile.
- 2.4. The advanced utility diversion work is underway and is scheduled to be completed in July followed by the start of civil works programme.
- 2.5. Civil works is underway and is being co-ordinated with the A355/A332 schemes in order to meet the programme schedule. Completion is expected in December 2016.

## 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local	£3,100,000	£2,500,000				_	£5,600,000
Growth Deal	£3,100,000	£2,500,000	-	-	_	ı	25,000,000
Local contributions from:							
- Section 106 agreements	£600,000	£300,000	_	-	-	-	£900,000
- Council Capital	£1,800,000	£800,000		_		_	£2,600,000
Programme	£1,800,000	2800,000	-	_	_	-	£2,000,000
- Other sources	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Scheme Cost	£5,500,000	£3,600,000					£9,100,000

#### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk	Status
Unfavourable response to wider public consultation.	Programme allows for detailed design to be modified where necessary to address specific objections.	Green
Planning permission not being granted for elements that are not Permitted Development.	Public consultation and close working with Ward Members, NAGs, Parish Councils and partners, bearing in mind that the affected land lies within the approved Bath Road Widening Line. Ongoing dialogue with planning officers to address likely concerns.	Green
Delay in acquiring frontage land near Three Tuns/ land transfer negotiations and legal process longer than expected.	Programme allows time for CPO process to be carried out and time for land transfer. (Minor issue remaining)	Amber
Higher than expected costs arising post-business case approval.	Manage scheme costs and benchmark against similar schemes.	Green
Delays in procurement process.	Programme allows adequate time for procurement.	Green
Delays in achieving local contribution towards costs.	Ensure SBC funding in place and ongoing dialogue with partners.	Green
Unexpected land compensation claims.	Address any claims in accordance with current legislation.	Green
Unexpected lead in time and duration for Statutory Authority Works.	Discuss and place orders early on and allow adequate lead in time in Project Plan.	Green
Utilities alterations greater than expected.	Early consultations with Statutory Authorities.	Green
Changes to design after commencing construction.	Fully complete design prior to commencing construction/ allow for contingency provision.	Red

5. **Programme** 

1 Togramme				
Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)		
Programme Entry Status	14 July 2013			
Independent Assessment of FBC	June 2014	Complete		
Financial Approval from LTB	July 2014	Complete		
Feasibility work		Complete		
Acquisition of statutory powers	Planning permission and CP Orders required	Complete		
Detailed design	Council Cabinet 15 <sup>th</sup> September 2014 agreed subject to outcome of public consultation	Complete		
Procurement	Due May 2015	Complete		
Start of construction	June 2015	December 2015		
Completion of construction	June 2016	December 2017		
One year on evaluation	June 2017	December 2018		
Five years on evaluation	June 2021	December 2022		

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme			
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.08 Slough: Rapid Transit Phase 1	27 October 2016		
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date		
Inputs				
Expenditure	£9,100,000	£5,500,000		
Funding breakdown				
Local Growth Deal	£5,600,000	£3,100,000		
s.106 and similar contributions	£900,000	£600,000		
Council Capital Programme	£2,600,000	£1,800,000		
Other	-			
In-kind resources provided	£110,000	-		
Outcomes				
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	2,460	0		
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	108,700	0		
Housing unit starts	3,120	0		
Housing units completed	3,120	0		
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention				
Transport				
Outputs				
Total length of resurfaced roads	Partial resurfacing of 2000m for bus lane provision	1200		
Total length of newly built roads	150m	90		
Total length of new cycle ways	2850m (bus lane)	1710		
Type of infrastructure	Junction improvements, traffic signal enhancement, road widening, bus lanes			
Type of service improvement	Enhanced bus services: greater frequency and reliabil journey times			
Outcomes	•			
Follow on investment at site	To be determined	-		
Commercial floor space occupied	To be determined	-		
Commercial rental values	To be determined	-		

3. ADDITIONAL MONITORING - for specific schemes			
Transport - to be collected for all projects/programmes involving more than £5m public funding and where these metrics and the collection points are relevant to the intervention			
Average daily traffic and by peak/non-peak periods	Data for 3 sections of A4:  Bath Rd  Wellington Rd  London Rd	0	
Average AM and PM peak journey time per mile on key routes (journey time	n/a	-	

measurement)		
Average AM and PM peak journey time on key routes (journey time measurement)	Data for A4 Bath Rd between Burnham and town centre and for A4 London Rd between town centre and M4 J5	0
Day-to-day travel time variability	Data for bus travel time variations from timetabled services on A4 Bath Rd and A4 London Rd	0
Average annual CO2 emissions	Data for Slough-wide emissions from traffic on 'A' roads	0
Accident rate	Data for rates along A4	0
Casualty rate	Data for KSI and slights along A4	0
Nitrogen Oxide and particulate emissions	Data for Slough AQMAs 3 & 4	0
Traffic noise levels at receptor locations	n/a	-
Annual average daily and peak hour passenger boardings	<ul> <li>Data for</li> <li>'Series 7' Heathrow bus services;</li> <li>Boardings in A4 Bath Rd and A4 London Rd</li> </ul>	0
Bus/light rail travel time by peak period	Data for end-to-end and intermediate bus travel times for A4 Bath Rd services	0
Mode share (%)	n/a	-
Pedestrians counts on new/existing routes (#)	n/a	-
Cycle journeys on new/existing routes (#)	Data for journeys along A4 Bath Rd	0
Households with access to specific sites by mode within threshold times (#)	Data for households within 45 mins bus journey time of Heathrow	0

### 2.09.1 Sustainable Transport NCN 422

Highlights of progress since July 2016
Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead has formally withdrawn for the project. Following
objections from the Crown Estate, the route cannot be delivered in the Royal Borough
The funds have been reallocated within the project and Bracknell Forest council will now deliver
additional sections of the route
£0.5m has been brought forward from 2017/18 to 2016/17 following discussions with the LEP
Reading Borough Council have completed the final design process for phase 1 of the scheme
in RBC, running from Lidl, Bath Road to Berkeley Avenue. It is planned for works to start in
November 2016
Phase 2 is currently being consulted upon and design work will start shortly.
Wokingham Borough Council has completed the last part of the design to link Reading to
Wokingham Town. These works are planned for a January 2017 start.
West Berks are refining the design of the planned scheme for 2017/18 to include value
engineering and to assess if there is any extra S106 that can be attributed to the scheme for
additional support.

### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1. In 2013 Sustrans were commissioned by Wokingham Borough Council (with the support of Reading Borough Council, Bracknell Forest Borough Council and the Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead) to investigate a potential National Cycle Route linking all four Boroughs.
- 1.2. The route has since been developed so that it originates in West Berkshire (Newbury) and goes through to LEGOLAND from where there are existing connections to Windsor.
- 1.3. Although the through connection to LEGOLAND Windsor via Crown estate land is no longer possible, the route can be delivered in a less formal way.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. A full business case for the route has been approved for funding and although the scheme has slightly altered from its original inception the BCR is not expected to change. (The NCN steering group will discuss how best to complete a reassessment of this task)
- 2.2. Bracknell Forest have reassessed the route and have come up with alternative solution which involves improving crossing facilities across 3 major junctions and includes adjustments to the pedestrian and cycleway network to improve safety along the section between Martins Heron roundabout and the borough boundary with Windsor and Maidenhead. This allows the route to be achieved with destinations as described in the business case development, but with an alternative, less direct route.
- 2.3. Officers from the various authorities involved in this project have had an early insight into the possibility of the route changing and have therefore been able to adjust the build programme and bring forward elements earlier. These include parts of the route around the Bracknell Town Centre Regeneration and the Amen corner North development.
- 2.4. Reading Borough Council are well progressed in developing the schemes. The first phase is due on site in November 2016 with the next phases being consulted upon and designed up.
- 2.5. Wokingham Borough have a clear design process established, in which a scheme will be delivered in January 2017 costing around £1.4m.
- 2.6. The next phase of the scheme in Wokingham will make the most of the links through Coppid Beach roundabout, linking up to the David Wilson Homes development which is currently on site at Amen corner.
- 2.7. West Berks are reconsidering their design as some sections are proving problematic where there is not enough space in the carriageway and vehicle speeds are very high. West Berks are going to look for the use of any 106 along the route that might help with the values engineering exercise.

# 3. Funding

- 3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of our approved funding profile.
- 3.2. Funding for the Bracknell portion of the route has been pulled forward to 2016/17 to coincide with other projects, Town Centre Redevelopment.

LEP funding allocations	West Berks	Reading	Wokingham	Bracknell	RBWM	Totals
2016/17	0	450,000	800,000	850,000	0	2,100,000
2017/18	500,000	750,000	250,000	0	0	1,500,000
2018/19	600,000	0	0	0	0	600,000
Total	1,100,000	1,200,000	1,050,000	850,000	0	£4,200,000

LEP funding table with contribution

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local Growth Deal	1	£2,100,000	£1,500,000	£600,000	-	-	£4,200,000
- Wokingham Council Capital Programme	£600,000	£600,000	£TBA	-	-	-	£1,200,000*
- Reading Council Capital Programme		£50,000*	£50,000	1	-	-	£100,000*
- West Berkshire Capital Programme	-		£50,000	£50,000	-	-	£100,000*
- Bracknell Forest Capital Programme	ı	£50,000-	£50,000		-	-	£100,000*
- Windsor and Maidenhead Capital Programme	-				-	-	
- Other sources Total Scheme Cost	£600,000	£2,800,000	£1,650,000	£650,000	-	-	£5,700,000*

### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below:

Risk	Management of risk
Design	If the whole project was delivered as one, which design standards should the project conform to?  Each authority has its own take on specification and style. It is recommended that the latest DfT guidance on cycle design is used to give the project continuity
Design feasibility & costing	Parts of the project have not yet been designed and there is a risk that it may not be possible to design and implement the project within allocated budget. Capital programme allocation within each Council should be used to supplement delivery where possible.
Funding	As with any multi-faceted project there are risks of securing all the funding needed for completion of the whole NCN. This project has proven to be flexibly delivered and is bring the large section of the project forward.
Political	Political support withdrawn for the project by RBWM members, which results in
support	no sustainable new infrastructure being delivered. The plan will rely on new

connecting facilities being constructed in Bracknell to deliver access to an
existing route in RBWM.

# 5. Programme

- 5.1. The programme will be delivered as per the funding programme above in 3.1.
- 5.2. Adjustments have been made to ensure the route to the east of Bracknell can be delivered and will link up to existing routes in Windsor and Maidenhead
- 5.3. Reading and Wokingham will have the spine of the route delivered in 2017/18
- 5.4. The steering group will develop publicity regarding route promotion and links to work and central areas, such as Newbury, Reading, Wokingham and Redeveloped Bracknell Town centre.
- 5.5. If we are all successful with the DfT's Access Fund, West Berks, Reading Wokingham and Bracknell will use the fund to further promote the link and embed into a 3 year long programme of publicity.
- 5.6. A monitoring programme will also be developed in 2017 to ensure data of the number of cyclists using the route is captured.

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	24 July 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	Not before March 2015	Autumn 2015
Financial Approval from LTB	Due July 2015	November 2015
Feasibility work	Sustrans work complete	COMPLETE
Acquisition of statutory powers	Unlikely to be needed	N/A
Detailed design	RBC & WBC Complete for 2016	COMPLETE
Procurement	Term Contractors undertaking works	
Start of construction	November 2016	November 2016
Completion of construction	February 2019	
One year on evaluation	February 2020	
Five years on evaluation	February 2024	

# 6. Growth Deal Reporting Framework

6.1. The following table is an extract from the Growth Deal reporting matrix. The entries made here will be reported on a project by project basis.

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme			
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.09.1 Sustainable Transport NCN 422	27 October 2016		
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date		
Inputs				
Expenditure	£5,700,000	0		
Funding breakdown				
Local Growth Deal	£4,200,000	0		
s.106 and similar contributions	-	-		
Council Capital Programmes	£1,500,000	0		
Other	-	-		
In-kind resources provided	Estimate required	-		
Outcomes				
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	-	-		

Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	-	-	
Housing unit starts	-	-	
Housing units completed	-	-	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	1.1km	0	
Total length of newly built roads	Estimate required	-	
Total length of new cycle ways	3.5 km (or 7km)	0	
Type of infrastructure	Share facility and on carriage	way	
Type of service improvement	Estimate required		
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	Estimate required	-	
Commercial floor space occupied	Estimate required	-	
Commercial rental values	Estimate required	-	

# 2.09.2 Sustainable Transport A4 Cycle Route with Bucks

# Highlights of progress since July 2016

Further design changes required along the A4 in Slough due to pinch points not being addressed in initial design.

#### 1. The Scheme

1.1. This scheme will provide a safe and convenient cycle route between Slough and South Buckinghamshire. It will follow the A4 corridor and will link with a scheme being promoted by Thames Valley Buckinghamshire LEP, which is progressing along similar time-scales. The scheme will connect the two urban areas of Slough and Maidenhead and will give access to: the Bishops Centre Retail Park; Slough Trading Estate; Burnham and Taplow stations; and adjacent residential areas. It will cater for commuting and other utility cycling trips, as well as leisure trips, connecting to National Cycle Network Route 61 via the Jubilee River, and to Cliveden and Burnham Beeches.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Progress with scheme is as follows:
  - RBWM: Maidenhead town centre to Thames Bridge design prepared and stakeholder consultation completed, minor revisions were made to the scheme design following alterations to the Stafferton Way Link Road scheme and to respond to the findings of the safety audit, NRSWA checks complete, scheme costings reviewed against the latest schedules of rates; internal funding bid submitted, but was unsuccessful. The LEP has offered access to Growing Places funding as an interim measure, until such time as developer contributions become available to repay the loans. However, RBWM does not wish to take up this offer and wishes to return the funds allocated for the Maidenhead section of the scheme to be reallocated to other schemes.
  - Bucks: Thames Bridge to Slough Borough boundary feasibility study completed and design underway – designs are being revised in response to stakeholder feedback.
  - Slough: Borough boundary east to Burnham station and Slough Trading Estate design
    work completed. The scheme will be coordinated with the delivery of the LSTF-funded
    cycle link between Slough Trading Estate and Slough town centre. SBC has designed
    traffic signals for the Huntercombe Lane / A4 junction toucan crossings are proposed
    for both arms of the junction to tie in with the A4 Cycle scheme. The Local Access Forum
    has been consulted and no objections have been received. Consulted with all frontagers
    in February. Slough is ready to proceed with construction of their element of the scheme.
  - Traffic signal design work of Huntercombe Lane/A4 has been varied, however has been recently completed. Work is planned to begin in October.
- 2.2. There have been regular project meetings between SBC and Bucks County Council (BCC) to coordinate the scheme design and to explore opportunities for joint working.

#### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of our unapproved funding profile. There will be an upward adjustment to the approved LEP finance figure when the final costings have been received; this will be met from the "unapproved allocation".

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP	_	£483,000	_	_	_	_	£483,000
Local Growth Deal		2400,000					٤٠٠٥,٥٥٥
Local contributions							
from							
- Section 106		CEO 000					£50,000
agreements	-	£50,000	_	_	_	_	£30,000

- Council Capital Programme	-	£397,000	-	-	-	-	£397,000
- Other sources	-	£1,728,600	-	-	-	-	£1,728,600
Total Scheme Cost		£2,658,600					£2,658,600

#### Notes:

1. Other sources of funding include £1,542,700 from Thames Valley Bucks LEP and £185,900 from Bucks S106.

#### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
Delay in coordinating cross-boundary elements.	Public consultation and close working between three authorities.
Higher than expected costs arising post- business case approval.	Manage scheme costs and benchmark against similar schemes.
Delays in procurement process.	Programme will allow adequate time for procurement.
Delays in achieving local contribution towards costs.	Submit internal funding bids in good time.
Unexpected lead in time and duration for Statutory Authority Works.	Discuss and place orders early on and allow adequate lead in time in Project Plan.
Utilities alterations greater than expected.	Early consultations with Statutory Authorities.

5. Programme

Trogrammo						
Task	Original Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)				
Programme Entry Status	24 July 2014					
Data Collection	April 2015	June 2015				
Independent Assessment of	Due May 2015	October 2015				
FBC						
Financial Approval from LTB	Due July 2015	November 2015				
Feasibility work	complete					
Acquisition of statutory powers	Unlikely to be needed					
Detailed design	Spring/summer 2015	January 2016				
Public Consultation	-	February – June 2016				
Procurement	Complete by December 2015	September 2016				
Start of construction	Spring 2016	January 2017				
Completion of construction	December 2016	June 2017				
One year on evaluation	December 2017	June 2018				
Five years on evaluation	December 2021	June 2022				

# 6. Growth Deal Reporting Framework

6.1. The following table is an extract from the Growth Deal reporting matrix. The entries made here will be reported on a project by project basis.

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme		
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.09.2 Sustainable Transport A4 Cycle with Bucks	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	£2,970,000	£0	

Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£550,000	£0	
s.106 and similar contributions	£90,000	£0	
Council Capital Programmes	£630,000	£0	
Other	£1,700,000	£0	
In-kind resources provided	£50,000	£50,000	
Outcomes			
Planned jobs connected to the intervention	0	-	
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	0	-	
Housing unit starts	0	-	
Housing units completed	0	-	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	0	-	
Total length of newly built roads	0	-	
Total length of new cycle ways	2.4 km*	0	
Type of infrastructure	Shared use footway / cycleway and on- carriageway cycle lanes		
Type of service improvement	New cycle rout		
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	0	-	
Commercial floor space occupied	0	-	
Commercial rental values	0	-	

<sup>\*</sup> excludes section within Buckinghamshire

2.10 Slough: A332 Improvements

#### Highlights of progress since July 2016

Utility diversions underway with BT delays are likely due to extent of works

#### 1. The Scheme

1.1. This project includes a programme of junction improvements, road widening and other works along the A332 on the approach to Slough town centre with the aim of improving conditions for general traffic as well as buses along this strategic route, making journeys quicker and more reliable.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. The business case for this scheme was assessed by WYG in October 2014. Financial Approval was given by the BLTB on 20<sup>th</sup> November 2014.
- 2.2. Detailed design and public consultation have been completed. Approval was granted by the Cabinet on the 15<sup>th</sup> December 2014 to proceed to tender and implementation. The Council has worked with other owners of land on the eastern frontage to agree a regeneration scheme involving the demolition of properties to allow road widening and provision of a comprehensive residential development<sup>1</sup>. Agreement has now been reached without the need to use CPO powers.
- 2.3. Procurement has proceeded in parallel with schemes 2.08 Slough: Rapid Transit Phase 1 and 2.17 Slough: A355 Route. Tenders have been sought, a contractor has been selected and the construction programme is under review to meet both the LEP and L's funding profile.
- 2.4. Utility works to commenced December 2015 and main civil works to start January 2017 with completion due September 2017.
- 2.5. Some civil works have been started early in order to utilise downtime at the other sites the contractor is working on (A332 Improvements).

#### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local Growth Deal	£1,266,667	£1,433,333	-	-	-	-	£2,700,000
Local contributions from							
- Section 106 agreements	£250,000		-	-	-	-	£250,000
- Council Capital Programme	£2,050,000		ı	ı	-	-	£2,050,000
- Other sources	-		-	-	-	-	-
Total Scheme Cost	£3,566,667	£1,433,333					£5,000,000

# 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This has been supported by the 27<sup>th</sup> November 2014 Planning Committee's decision to designate the area as a 'Selected Key Location' for regeneration in line with Core Policy 1 of the Slough Local Plan.

Risk	Management of risk	Status
Unfavourable response to wider	Address any issues arising during public	Green
public consultation.	consultation. Close working with Ward	
Planning permission not being granted for associated housing and commercial developments.	Members, NAGs, Parish Councils and partners, bearing in mind that the affected land lies within the approved Berkshire Road Widening Line. (Planning application submitted: no issues anticipated in relation to LGF scheme).	Green
Delay in acquiring frontage land / land transfer negotiations and legal process longer than expected.	Land located within Berkshire Road Widening Line approved by Berks in 1996. Programme allows times for CPO process to be carried out if necessary and time for land transfer.	Green
Higher than expected costs arising post-business case approval.	Manage scheme costs and benchmark against similar schemes. Scheme to be tendered with other SMaRT and A355 major projects.	Green
Delays in procurement process.	Programme allows adequate time for procurement.	Green
Delays in achieving local contribution towards costs.	Ensure SBC funding in place and ongoing dialogue with partners.	Green
Unexpected land compensation claims.	Address any claims in accordance with current legislation.	Green
Unexpected lead in time and duration for Statutory Authority Works.	Discuss and place orders early on and allow adequate lead in time in Project Plan.	Green
Utilities alterations greater than expected.	Early consultations with Statutory Authorities.	Green
Changes to design after commencing construction.	Fully complete design prior to commencing construction/ allow for contingency provision.	Green

5. **Programme** 

Task	Original Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	24 July 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	October 2014	
Financial Approval from LTB	20 November 2014	
Feasibility work	Completed	
Acquisition of statutory powers	planning permission and CP Orders required	September 2014
Cabinet approve scheme		Dec 2014
Detailed design	March 2015	Jan 2015
Procurement	May 2015	September 2015
Start of construction	June 2015	December 2015
Completion of construction	June 2016	September 2017
One year on evaluation	June 2017	September 2018
Five years on evaluation	June 2021	September 2022

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport sch	ieme
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.10 Slough: A332 Improvements	27 October 2016
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date
Inputs		
Expenditure	£5,000,000	£3,566,667
Funding breakdown		
Local Growth Deal	£2,700,000	£1,266,667
s.106 and similar contributions	£250,000	£250,000
Council Capital Programme	£2,050,000	£2,050,000
Other	-	
In-kind resources provided	£90,000	-
Outcomes		
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	2,150	0
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	79,150	0
Housing unit starts	2,995	0
Housing units completed	2,995	0
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention		
Transport		
Outputs		
Total length of resurfaced roads	500m	300
Total length of newly built roads	500m of additional traffic lane	300
Total length of new cycle ways	350m	210
Type of infrastructure	Junction improvements, road lanes	widening, bus
Type of service improvement	Relieve congestion, reduce joincrease journey reliability	ourney times,
Outcomes		
Follow on investment at site	Redevelopment for 125 housing units	0
Commercial floor space occupied	To be determined	_
Commercial moor space occupied	TO DO GOLOTTIITOG	

2.11 Reading: South Reading MRT phase 1 2.12 Reading: South Reading MRT phase 2

#### Highlights of progress since July 2016

Construction of Phase 1 of the scheme commenced in August with good progress being made on-site to date.

Detailed designs are being finalised for Phase 2 taking account of the latest situation with the Southside development site.

Development of detailed designs for Phases 3 - 4 are being progressed in advanced of an announcement on GD3.

#### 1. The Scheme

1.1 South Reading Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Phases 1 and 2 will provide a series of bus priority measures on the A33 between M4 junction 11 and the A33 junction with Longwater Avenue (GreenPark) (Phase 1) and Island Road (Phase 2). The scheme would reduce congestion and journey times, improving public transport reliability on the main corridor into Reading.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1 Outline design and preliminary business case development is complete. The scheme was granted programme entry status by the BLTB in July 2014.
- 2.2 The business case has been completed and full financial approval for the scheme was granted by the BLTB in November 2015. The business case incorporates comments received previously from the independent assessors regarding the need to update elements of the Reading Transport Model (RTM), therefore an updated model of the A33 corridor was been used for preparation of the scheme business case.
- 2.3 The economic appraisal for the scheme gives a BCR of 3.55, showing the scheme represents high value for money. Sensitivity tests undertaken with increased scheme costs and high and low patronage forecasts still show a positive BCR of between 2.4 to 4.2.
- 2.4 Statutory consultation for the scheme has been completed with no objections received to the Traffic Regulation Orders. In addition a public exhibition was held in June to provide information about this element of the MRT scheme and proposals for future phases.
- 2.5 Design work for Phase 1 of the scheme has been completed and the required third party land has been secured from the Worton Grange development site. Procurement of a contractor has been completed and construction commenced in August with good progress being made on-site to date.
- 2.6 Detailed designs for the revised alignment of Phase 2 are being prepared taking account of the latest situation with the Southside development site. This is in line with the approach taken for development of the full scheme to ensure consistency with the latest land-use development proposals on the A33 corridor and secure land for the scheme as opportunities arise.
- 2.7 A phased construction programme for the scheme has been developed, including measures to reduce disruption to the flow of traffic while the construction works take place, for instance by limiting any necessary lane closures to off peak hours only.
- 2.8 The potential for cost savings for the scheme continues to be reviewed, both to the overall scheme costs and the level of LGF funding required.
- 2.9 A Growth Deal 3 bid has been submitted for Phases 3-6 of the scheme to provide further bus priority measures on the A33 corridor towards Reading town centre. Development of designs for Phases 3 4 are being progressed in advanced of an announcement on GD3.

# 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of the indicative funding profile.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local Growth Deal	-	£2,970,000	£1,530,000	-	-	-	£4,500,000
Local contributions from:							
- Section 106 agreements	ı	£740,000	£380,000	-	ı	ı	£1,120,000
- Council Capital Programme	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
- Other sources	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Total Scheme Cost		£3,710,000	£1,910,000				£5,620,000

# 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
Objections through the TRO process.	Scheme is within highway or safeguarded land. The principle of MRT on this corridor has been consulted upon through preparation of policy documents including the LTP3.
Utility diversions and surface water drainage alterations.	Detailed designs for the scheme are being prepared with all the relevant information from utility searches and in line with surface water drainage requirements.
Securing the required third party land where this falls outside highway land.	The MRT route has been safeguarded for this purpose and negotiations with land owners are being undertaken.

5. Programme

Task	Original Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Feasibility work	March 2014	
Programme Entry Status	July 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	September 2015	
Financial Approval from LTB	November 2015	
Acquisition of statutory powers	March 2016	June 2016
Detailed design	June 2015	Phase 1 - April 2016 Phase 2 - November 2016
Procurement	June 2016	Phase 1 - July 2016 Phase 2 – January 2017
Start of construction	August 2016	
Completion of construction	November 2017	
One year on evaluation	November 2018	
Five years on evaluation	November 2022	

# 6. Growth Deal Reporting Framework

6.1. The following table is an extract from the Growth Deal reporting matrix. The entries made here will be reported on a project by project basis.

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport sch	ieme	
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.11 Reading: South Reading MRT phase 1 2.12 Reading: South Reading MRT phase 2	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	£5,620,000		
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£4,500,000		
s.106 and similar contributions	£1,120,000		
Council Capital Programme	-		
Other In-kind resources provided	£350,000		
Outcomes	£330,000		
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	2,424		
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	44,016		
Housing unit starts	527		
Housing units completed	527		
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	0m		
Total length of newly built roads	1,900m (Phase 1) 1,360m (Phase 2)		
Total length of new cycle ways	200m (Phase 2)		
Type of infrastructure	Bus Priority Lanes		
Type of service improvement	Reduced & consistent journey times		
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	N/A		
Commercial floor space occupied	N/A		
Commercial rental values	N/A		

# 2.13 Wokingham: Thames Valley Park and Ride previously called 2.13 Reading: Eastern Park and Ride

#### Highlights of progress since July 2016

Discussions ongoing between Oracle and Wokingham Borough Council relating to transfer of land ownership.

Discussions have commenced with TVP regarding a proposed Heads of Terms for use of the TVP Shuttle Bus Service. TVP Directors support in principle the proposed Heads of Terms

The Planning Application that was been submitted to Wokingham Borough Council will be considered at the November Committee (as a result of further detailed being requested relating to landscaping).

The Full Business Case is in advanced state of preparation, awaiting only planning permission and detailed costs following value engineering.

#### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1 Thames Valley Park and Ride (P&R) is a proposed P&R facility off the A3290 in the east of the Reading urban area. The scheme will improve access to Reading town centre and major employment sites by providing congestion relief on the road network in east Reading.
- 1.2 The scheme is being jointly promoted by Reading Borough Council (RBC) and Wokingham Borough Council (WBC).
- 1.3 The scheme was originally called 2.13 Reading: Eastern Park and Ride, but has since been re-named 2.13 Wokingham: Thames Valley Park and Ride

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1 Outline design and preliminary business case development (including baseline surveys and modelling) is complete. The scheme was granted programme entry status by the BLTB in July 2014.
- 2.2 Scheme development, including preparation of the full business case for the scheme has been completed in line with the requirements of the BLTB independent assessment.
- 2.3 Wokingham BC secured LSTF revenue funding for 2015/16 to progress the scheme to submission of a planning application. Progression of a public consultation, planning application (including an Environmental Statements), has been undertaken in line with the scheme programme.
- 2.4 Meetings took place between Reading BC and Wokingham BC to ascertain the extent of work already undertaken.
- 2.5 Progress on scheme development has been reported to the Thames Valley Park Board and regular updates will be reported to this forum as a key delivery partner for the project.
- 2.6 The potential for cost savings for the scheme continues to be reviewed, both to the overall scheme costs and the level of LGF funding required. The results of detailed value engineering will be incorporated into the final version of the Full Business Case in the spring.
- 2.7 The scheme is being developed to ensure compatibility with other schemes contained within the TVB Strategic Economic Plan (SEP), particularly East Reading Mass Rapid Transit.

#### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of the indicative funding profile.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from							
LEP Local	_	_	£2,000,000	£900,000	_	_	£2,900,000
Growth Deal				·			

Local contributions from	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
- Section 106 agreements	-	-	£700,000	-	-	-	£700,000
- Council Capital Programme	1	ı	-	-	-	-	-
- Other sources	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Scheme Cost			£2,750,000	£900,000			£3,600,000

4. Risks
4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Management of risk

Risk	Management of risk
Planning permission is not granted.	Robust scheme development and planning application documentation has been prepared.
Land availability	Land constraints have been identified, elements of land within local authority ownership. WBC engaged in negotiations on leases.
Crossrail safeguarded land	Initial discussions with Crossrail confirmed they are only likely to require access across the land to a storage area by the river.
Objections through the planning process	Robust scheme development and planning application documentation is being prepared.
Environmental consents / mitigation	Subject to planning and consultation process. Initial key survey work has been undertaken and scheme subject to a rigorous site option assessment process. Ecology surveys now complete and discussions have commenced with WBC Development Management.
Securing operationally viable bus service	Liaison with possible providers including TVP underway, operational principles established. Heads of Terms agreed in principle.
Requirement for Utility Diversion	Ongoing discussions with SGN and SSE.

5. **Programme** 

Task	Original Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	24 July 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	September 2015	October 2016 (submit first draft FBC)
Financial Approval from LTB	November 2015	March 2017
Feasibility work	March 2014	
Acquisition of statutory powers	September 2015	November 2016
Detailed design	September 2015	Start 2017
Procurement	March 2016	Spring 2017
Start of construction	April 2016	Autumn 2017
Completion of construction	September 2017	2018
One year on evaluation	September 2018	2019
Five years on evaluation	September 2022	2023

<b>Growth Deal Schemes:</b>	Transport scheme				
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.13 Wokingham: Thames Valley Park and Ride previously 2.13 Reading: Eastern Park and Ride	27 October 2016			
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date			
Inputs					
Expenditure	£3,600,000	0			
Funding breakdown					
Local Growth Deal	£2,900,000	0			
s.106 and similar contributions	£700,000	0			
Council Capital Programme	-	_			
Other	-	-			
In-kind resources provided					
Outcomes Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	2/0				
Commercial floor space constructed (square	n/a				
metres)	n/a	-			
Housing unit starts	n/a	-			
Housing units completed	n/a	-			
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCINTERVENTION	COMES - to be collected wher	e relevant to the			
Transport					
Outputs					
Total length of resurfaced roads	[TBC]	-			
Total length of newly built roads	[TBC]	-			
Total length of new cycle ways	[TBC]	-			
Type of infrastructure	Park and Ride				
Type of service improvement	Congestion relief, sustainable transport				
Outcomes					
Follow on investment at site	[TBC]	-			
Commercial floor space occupied	[TBC]	-			
Commercial rental values	[TBC]	-			

# 2.14 Reading: East Reading Mass Rapid Transit

#### Highlights of progress since July 2016

Public consultation including an exhibition was undertaken over the summer which will inform development of the scheme and preparation of the planning application.

Pre-application discussions are being undertaken with Reading BC, Wokingham BC and all statutory consultees including the Environment Agency.

Development of the full business case for the scheme is being progressed following completion of the update to the Reading Transport Model. The methodology for the business case has been agreed with WYG through an Appraisal Specification Report.

#### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1 East Reading Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) is a new public transport link between central Reading and the proposed Thames Valley Park P&R site to the east of the Reading urban area, running parallel to the Great Western mainline.
- 1.2 The scheme is being jointly promoted by Reading Borough Council (RBC) and Wokingham Borough Council (WBC).

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1 Outline design and preliminary business case development is complete. The scheme was granted programme entry status by the BLTB in July 2014.
- 2.2 Scheme development is on-going, including preparation of the full scheme business case which is being progressed following completion of the update to the Reading Transport Model. The methodology for the business case has been agreed with WYG in the form of an Appraisal Specification Report. It is anticipated that full financial approval will be sought from the BLTB meeting in March 2017.
- 2.3 The initial business case for the scheme identified significant journey time and operational costs savings for public transport services, therefore it is not anticipated that the requirement to update the model will adversely impact the value for money assessment of the scheme.
- 2.4 Public consultation including an exhibition was undertaken over the summer which will inform development of the scheme and preparation of the planning application.
- 2.5 Preparation of the planning application is on-going with significant work focused on environmental, flooding, landscaping and visual impact concerns. Pre-application discussions are being undertaken with Reading BC, Wokingham BC and all statutory consultees including the Environment Agency.
- 2.6 Early contractor involvement is being undertaken to inform the specification and build methodology for the scheme.
- 2.7 The overall scheme programme has been updated to reflect the implications resulting from the significant delay associated with the requirement to update the Reading Transport Model prior to preparation of the full scheme business case, and the potential for further delays associated with the Planning process.
- 2.8 Progress on scheme development has been reported to the Thames Valley Park Board and regular updates will be reported to this forum as a key delivery partner for the project.
- 2.9 The scheme is being developed to ensure compatibility with other schemes contained within the TVB Strategic Economic Plan (SEP), particularly the Thames Valley Park P&R scheme.
- 2.10 The potential for cost savings for the scheme continues to be reviewed, both to the overall scheme costs and the level of LGF funding required.
- 2.11 A Growth Deal 3 bid has been submitted for Phase 2 of the scheme. If successful, the full business case for the scheme will cover both Phases 1 and 2.

# 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of the indicative funding profile.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local				CE 400 000	£10,200,000		C15 600 000
Growth Deal	-	-	-	£5,400,000	£10,200,000	-	£15,600,000
Local contributions from							
- Section 106 agreements	-	-	-	-	£3,900,000	-	£3,900,000
- Council Capital Programme	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
- Other sources	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Scheme Cost				£5,400,000	£14,100,000		£19,500,000

# 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
Environmental consents / mitigation	Subject to planning and consultation process. Initial key survey work has been undertaken and scheme subject to a rigorous site option assessment process.
Planning permission is not granted / objections through the planning process	Robust scheme development and planning application documentation is being prepared.
A Public Inquiry is called by the Planning Inspectorate.	Robust scheme development and planning application documentation is being prepared.
Land availability	Land constraints have been identified, elements of land within local authority ownership, and negotiations on-going with third party landowners.
Scheme costs significantly increase.	Costs are being reviewed and cost savings sought, a phased approach to delivery has been identified.

5. Programme

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	July 2013	
Feasibility work	March 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	September 2015	October 2016
Financial Approval from LTB	November 2015	March 2017
Acquisition of statutory powers	September 2015	March 2017
Detailed design	September 2015	September 2017
Procurement	March 2016	March 2018
Start of construction	April 2016	April 2018
Completion of construction	September 2017	September 2019
One year on evaluation	September 2018	September 2020
Five years on evaluation	September 2022	September 2024

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme			
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.14 Reading: East Reading Mass Rapid Transit	27 October 2016		
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date		
Inputs				
Expenditure	£19,500,000			
Funding breakdown				
Local Growth Deal	£15,600,000			
s.106 and similar contributions	£3,900,000			
Council Capital Programme	-			
Other	-			
In-kind resources provided Outcomes	£500,000			
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	1,236			
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	29,600			
Housing unit starts	356			
Housing units completed	356			
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention				
Transport				
Outputs				
Total length of resurfaced roads	0m			
Total length of newly built roads	1,870m			
Total length of new cycle ways	1,870m			
Type of infrastructure	Dedicated public transport link			
Type of service improvement	Decongestion Benefits, Journey Time Savings; Reliability; Journey Ambience			
Outcomes				
Follow on investment at site	N/A			
Commercial floor space occupied	N/A			
Commercial rental values	N/A			

3. ADDITIONAL MONITORING - for specific schemes		
Transport - to be collected for all projects/progrand where these metrics and the collection poi		
Average daily traffic and by peak/non-peak periods	n/a	
Average AM and PM peak journey time per mile on key routes (journey time measurement)	n/a	

Average AM and PM peak journey time on key routes (journey time measurement)	n/a	
Day-to-day travel time variability	n/a	
Average annual CO2 emissions	n/a	
Accident rate	n/a	
Casualty rate	n/a	
Nitrogen Oxide and particulate emissions	n/a	
Traffic noise levels at receptor locations	n/a	
Annual average daily and peak hour passenger boardings	745,000 per annum; Circa 2,050 per day; 423 AM Peak; 281 Inter-peak	
Bus/light rail travel time by peak period	Time saving of 4 minutes	
Mode share (%)	N/A	
Pedestrians counts on new/existing routes (#)	N/A	
Cycle journeys on new/existing routes (#)	N/A	
Households with access to specific sites by mode within threshold times (#)	N/A	

#### 2.15 Bracknell: Martins Heron Roundabout

Highlights of progress since July 2015		
Enhancements made to the original scheme to deliver strategic benefits over and above the		
original expectations.		
Request for additional funding approved at July LTB meeting		
Business Case submitted to WYG at beginning of October. Conditional approval is		
recommended elsewhere on this agenda		

#### 1. The Scheme

1.1. This is part of a wider programme to improve access between the M3 and M4 via the A322, A329 and A329(M). This route runs through the middle of Bracknell and forms part of the original inner ring road. The main capacity constraint is the junctions where radial and orbital routes intersect. This scheme focuses on the Martins Heron roundabout on the east of Bracknell and includes associated junction improvements and minor alteration to the London Road corridor to improve congestion and journey times. The original intention had been to fund a major part of the improvements from developer contributions arising from Bracknell Town Centre redevelopment but this is no longer possible on viability grounds.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Following the decision of BLTB in July, work is in hand to bring this scheme forward to start possibly with the current 2016/17 financial year, subject to meeting the requirements of the conditional approval which is recommended elsewhere on this agenda.
- 2.2. We plan to deliver the Martins Heron/London road corridor improvements project through a Principal Contractor (the Council's Highways Term Contract) which significantly streamlines the procurements process, and will be seeking the necessary internal approvals for this course of action.

#### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of our unapproved funding profile.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local Growth Deal	-	-	£2,000,000	£900,000	-	-	£2,900,000
Local contributions from							
- Section 106 agreements	-	-	-	£450,000	-	-	£450,000
- Council Capital Programme	-	-	-	£450,000	-	-	£450,000
- Other sources	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Total Scheme Cost			£2,000,000	£1,800,000			£3,800,000

#### 4. Risks

11.01.0	
Risk	Management of risk
That the overall cost of the Martins Heron Junction	Detailed Bill of Quantities with effective site and
exceeds the funding available	contract management
Statutory undertakers C4 cost estimates significantly	Early liaison with statutory undertakers and
exceed C3 cost estimates	early commission of C4 estimates (underway)
Highway Works in neighbouring local authority area	Liaison with neighbouring authorities and
during construction leading to traffic congestion and	agreement re. programme

possible impact on programme and costs	
Unexpected need for additional Temporary Traffic Management increasing costs	Liaison with Traffic Management Section and early quantification of TM requirements and costs (underway)

5. **Programme** 

Task	Original Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	24 July 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	April 2016	Sept 2016
Financial Approval from LTB	November 2016	
Feasibility work		April 2016
Acquisition of statutory powers	Not needed	
Detailed design	October 2016	
Procurement	Term contractor	
Start of construction	June 2017	
Completion of construction	November 2018	
One year on evaluation	November 2019	
Five years on evaluation	November 2023	

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme			
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.15 Bracknell: Martins Heron Roundabout	27 October 2016 Actual to date		
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers			
Inputs				
Expenditure	£3,800,000	0		
Funding breakdown				
Local Growth Deal	£2,900,000	0		
s.106 and similar contributions	£450,000	0		
Council Capital Programme	£450,000	0		
Other	-			
In-kind resources provided	Surveys – Topographical and turning counts	£10000		
Outcomes				
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	-	-		
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	-	-		
Housing unit starts	-	-		
Housing units completed	-	-		
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention				
Transport				
Outputs				
Total length of resurfaced roads	Approximately 750m – 1000m	0		
Total length of newly built roads	Approximately 100m where	0		

	the existing roundabout is		
	to be removed.		
	Shared facilities already run		
	along London Rd. Junction		
Total length of new cycle ways	works will provide safer	0	
	controlled crossing points		
	for peds/cyclists.		
Tune of infractments are	Replacement of existing roun	dabout with	
Type of infrastructure	signalised junction		
Tune of comice improvement	Improvement to journey times	s following removal	
Type of service improvement	of an existing pinch point on the network.		
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	Not applicable	-	
	N. ( P. 11		
Commercial floor space occupied	Not applicable	-	
Commercial rental values	Not applicable	-	

2.16 Maidenhead: Station Access

# Highlights of progress since July 2016

Consultants have prepared outline designs and viability assessment for several different options for the station interchange scheme. These options include compulsory purchase and redevelopment of some or all of the area occupied by offices to the north of the station, which is necessary to deliver an interchange scheme. All options have shown a significant funding gap, even with comprehensive redevelopment on site. Also, it has proved challenging to fit the interchange into the space available and address safety and operational issues. A final option is being assessed, with a view to making a presentation to Cabinet Regeneration Sub-Committee (target date – December 2016)

A viability and feasibility study for increasing car parking capacity at Stafferton Way has been completed. The preferred option will be incorporated into the Parking Strategy, which is programmed for consideration by Cabinet in October 2016.

#### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1. The scheme has three elements:
  - i) Construction of a multi-modal transport interchange at Maidenhead Station to improve connections between journeys made on foot, bicycle, bus, train, taxi and car.
  - ii) Improved linkages between the rail station and the town centre, with environmental enhancements for the station forecourt that will transform the area and create a proper gateway to the town centre.
  - iii) Construction of replacement and increased parking to serve Maidenhead, providing up to 1,000 additional car parking spaces for rail commuters, shoppers visitors and employees.

#### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Maidenhead Railway Station is a major gateway into the town centre with over 4.5 million people passing through it each year, putting it in the top 50 UK stations outside London, and significantly higher if interchanges are taken into account.
- 2.2. With the planned upgrades to the Great Western Main Line, including electrification, new rolling stock and implementation of Crossrail, passenger footfall and the importance of Maidenhead station will increase.
- 2.3. Maidenhead Town Centre Area Action Plan (AAP) has identified the station and surrounding area as an Opportunity Site for development. Discussions have taken place with Network Rail and other landowners.
- 2.4. Access to the station by non-car modes is currently poor. Buses call at a number of different stops scattered over a wide area. In a recent passenger survey, access by bus was the second most identified area for improvement.
- 2.5. The station forecourt is congested with parked cars, taxis and vehicles involved in dropping off / picking up passengers, while walking and cycling routes to the station are narrow and congested, with cycle parking facilities operating above capacity.
- 2.6. In 2013, a provisional scheme was developed jointly with Crossrail incorporating a transport interchange at Maidenhead Station to improve connections between rail and other forms of transport. Vehicles would largely be removed from the station forecourt to enable creation of interchange facilities and a high quality public space commensurate with its importance as a gateway to the town centre and western terminus to Crossrail. Unfortunately, the Crossrail scheme was ultimately found to be unviable, but it provided a useful starting point.
- 2.7. There are nearly 400 parking spaces in the station car parks, with 87 in the station forecourt. These facilities operate at or close to capacity on most days. Removal of the parked cars from the station forecourt means that parking will need to be re-provided elsewhere. A passenger survey showed that only half of interviewed passengers who arrived by car currently use the station car parks, with a quarter parking on street. This suggests that there

- is suppressed demand for parking at the station. The additional trips associated with Crossrail and other planned improvements, are likely to significantly increase the demand for parking in the vicinity of the rail station.
- 2.8. The AAP identifies a site for a new / expanded car park within the Stafferton Way Opportunity area, which could also serve the new development within this Opportunity Area and the other Opportunity Areas across the town centre area. This will enable reduced levels of car parking to be provided elsewhere, thus maximising development opportunities and reducing traffic entering the retail core.
- 2.9. An access and parking study has been carried out for the town centre, which shows that long-stay car parks near the station are already at capacity on weekdays. With growth in traffic forecast to be in the region of 2% per annum over 10 years, it is forecast that there will be an overall shortfall in weekday parking across the town centre within the next few years. A number of options have been considered to address this shortfall including:
  - Provision of additional car parking at Stafferton Way
  - Provision of additional car parking within the Broadway Opportunity Area
  - Provision of additional car parking at alternative locations
  - Park and ride opportunities
- 2.10. Regardless of which option is pursued, additional car parking will be required to accommodate weekday demand.
- 2.11. A consultant was appointed to carry out a viability and feasibility study for the Stafferton Way car park, and to consider appropriate funding and operating models. The preferred solution will be agreed as part of the Parking Strategy, which is being considered by Cabinet at the end of October.
- 2.12. A range of other stakeholders have demonstrated commitment and support for the project as part of the wider Maidenhead Town Centre Area Action Plan, including the Partnership for the Rejuvenation of Maidenhead.
- 2.13. The Council has also been working with developers to explore delivery options for improving pedestrian and cycle access between the station and the town centre, including remodelling of the King Street / Queen Street / Grenfell Road junction. A planning application has been approved for The Landing development and RBWM has provisionally secured a contribution of £250,000 towards the junction improvement scheme.
- 2.14. The Council has appointed consultants to progress designs for a multi-modal interchange at the station. The constrained nature of the station site means that it is not possible to provide all of the required interchange elements within the existing station forecourt.
- 2.15. The adjacent landowners declined to enter into a joint venture, which means that compulsory purchase of all or part of the area to the north of the station will be required in order to deliver the interchange scheme.
- 2.16. Consultants have appraised numerous options and sub-options, including redevelopment of all or part of the site in order to minimise any funding gaps created by the compulsory purchase of the land required for the interchange.
- 2.17. None of the options appraised to date have offered a solution that is deliverable within the available funding. Also, it has proved challenging to fit the interchange into the space available and address safety and operational issues associated with bus operations. However, a final option is currently in development and providing it satisfies safety and operational requirements, it will be taken to Regeneration Sub-Committee as the recommended option.
- 2.18. In parallel, Great Western Railway has undertaken preliminary design work for a track-level pedestrian link between the station and the car park, in order to minimise impacts on the traffic signals at the A308 / Shoppenhangers Road junction caused by pedestrians using the surface crossing.
- 2.19. They have appointed consultants to develop proposals for enhancing the station's southern access to extend the ticket gate line to accommodate the additional passengers that are forecast to use this entrance.

- 2.20. They also developed a proposal for decking the station car park at Shoppenhangers Road to provide at least 182 additional spaces and have submitted a funding bid to the Station Commercial Project Facility. Unfortunately, this was unsuccessful due to the high cost of the project relative to the benefits afforded by the scheme.
- 2.21. Network Rail are currently assessing the potential impacts of the Western Rail Link to Heathrow on Maidenhead Station, including access arrangements and platform capacity issues the results of this work will feed into the interchange design.

#### 2.22. Timetable:

- Internal funding bid submitted August 2016.
- Car park solution to be considered by Cabinet as part of the Parking Strategy at the end of October 2016.
- Outline design complete and the preferred option for the station interchange to be identified by end of October 2016.
- Report to be taken to Cabinet Regeneration Sub-Committee in December 2016, seeking approval to progress with the preferred interchange scheme.
- Assuming that the scheme is approved, the formal business case and detailed design will be progressed with a view to seeking funding approval from the Thames Valley Berkshire Local Transport Body in July 2017.
- The scheme is scheduled for start on site in 2017/18 with completion in 2018/19 in advance of the opening of Crossrail in December 2019.

# 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of our unapproved funding profile.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local Growth Deal	ı	-	£1,750,000	£5,000,000	-	-	£6,750,000
Local contributions from							
- Section 106 agreements	-	-	£1,250,000*	-	-	-	£1,250,000*
- Council Capital Programme	ı	-	-	1	-	-	1
- Other sources	-	-	-	-	_	_	-
Total Scheme Cost			£3,000,000*	£5,000,000			£8,000,000*

<sup>\*</sup>provisional funding profile, not yet confirmed

#### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
Land cannot be secured for the development	Compulsory purchase options being investigated.
Planning permission is not granted	The scheme is consistent with priorities identified within the Maidenhead Town Centre AAP. Planning is engaged in discussions.
Private sector finance is not forthcoming	The bid reflects the worst case scenario, with minimal private sector funding. Discussions are ongoing with relevant stakeholders and the Council is confident that private sector finance can be delivered in excess of the minimum levels indicated.

5. **Programme** 

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where
Programme Entry Status	24 July 2014	
Feasibility / outline design	March 2015	October 2016
Selection of preferred option		December 2016
Detailed design	January 2016	February 2017
Preparation of FBC		May 2017
Independent Assessment of FBC	March 2016	June 2017
Financial Approval from LTB	July 2016	July 2017
Acquisition of statutory powers	March 2015	September 2017
Procurement	March 2016	November 2017
Start of construction	April 2017	January 2018
Completion of construction	March 2017	October 2019
One year on evaluation	October 2018	October 2020
Five years on evaluation	October 2022	October 2024

<b>Growth Deal Schemes:</b>	Transport sch	eme	
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.16 Maidenhead: Station Access	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	£8,000,000	£0	
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£6,750,000	£0	
s.106 and similar contributions	£1,250,000	£0	
Council Capital Programme	-	-	
Other	-	-	
In-kind resources provided	£150,000	£10,000	
Outcomes			
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	875	0	
Commercial floor Space constructed (square metres)	15,750	0	
Housing unit starts	50	0	
Housing units completed	50	0	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	0	0	
Total length of newly built roads	0	0	
Total length of new cycle ways	0	0	
Type of infrastructure	Multi-modal transport intercha multi-storey car park	ange; 1,000 space	
Type of service improvement	Improved connections between	en journeys made	

	on foot, bicycle, bus, train, taxi and car; Increased car park capacity serving the rail station and town centre.	
Outcomes		
Follow on investment at site	tbc*	-
Commercial floor space occupied	tbc*	-
Commercial rental values	tbc*	-
3. ADDITIONAL MONITORING - for specific schemes		
Transport - to be collected for all projects/prografunding and where these metrics and the collected		
Average daily traffic and by peak/non-peak periods	n/a	-
Average AM and PM peak journey time per mile on key routes (journey time measurement)	n/a	-
Average AM and PM peak journey time on key routes (journey time measurement)	n/a	-
Day-to-day travel time variability	n/a	-
Average annual CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	n/a	-
Accident rate	n/a	-
Casualty rate	n/a	-
Nitrogen Oxide and particulate emissions	n/a	-
Traffic noise levels at receptor locations	n/a	-
Annual average daily and peak hour passenger boardings	tbc*	-
Bus/light rail travel time by peak period	n/a	-
Mode share (%)	tbc*	-
Pedestrians counts on new/existing routes (#)	tbc*	_
Cycle journeys on new/existing routes (#)	tbc*	-
Households with access to specific sites by mode within threshold times (#)	tbc*	-

<sup>\*</sup> Numbers will be determined as part of feasibility work

2.17 Slough: A355 Route

Highlights of progress since July 2016
Southbound carriageway works completed in September including bridge works
Contra-flow switched in September
Northbound bridge works underway

#### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1. This is a scheme to improve traffic flow on the strategic north-south A355 route that links the M4, Slough Trading Estate and the M40 and to enhance access to Slough town centre. The scheme involves the remodelling of the Copthorne roundabout, signal and junction upgrades and selected road widening.
- 1.2. The A355 Route Enhancement scheme will deliver a major contribution to reducing road congestion and increasing economic efficiency and business confidence. This project will support the delivery of the 150,000m² of office and ancillary space proposed in the Slough Trading Estate master plan and over 60,000m² of office space, 2,300 dwellings and other development to be delivered in the town centre as part of the 'Heart of Slough' project.

# 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. The business case for this scheme was assessed by WYG in October 2014. Financial Approval was given by the BLTB on 20th November 2014.
- 2.2. Detailed design and public consultation have been completed. Approval was granted by the Cabinet on the 15<sup>th</sup> December 2014 to proceed to tender and implementation.
- 2.3. Procurement has proceeded in parallel with schemes 2.08 Slough: Rapid Transit Phase 1 and 2.10 Slough: A332 Improvements.
- 2.4. Civils work started mid-January 2016; the bridge repair and new parapets are on-going and about 30% complete on the east side. The formation of the cut through at the roundabout is about 40% complete. Works to the south bound carriageway are 40% complete. Switch over to commence work on the west side is July 2016 and full completion is December 2016.

#### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP Local Growth Deal	£2,275,000	£2,125,000	-	-	-	-	£4,400,000
Local contributions							
from							
- Section 106 agreements	£700,000		-	-	-	-	£700,000
- Council Capital Programme	£700,000		-	-	-	-	£700,000
- Other sources	-		_	-	_	-	-
<b>Total Scheme Cost</b>	£3,675,000	£2,125,000					£5,800,000

#### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below.

Risk	Management of risk	
Unfavourable response to wider public consultation.	Public consultation and close working with Ward Members, NAGs, Parish Councils and	Green

	partners, bearing in mind that the affected land lies within the approved Bath Road Widening Line. On-going dialogue with planning officers to address likely concerns.	
Higher than expected costs arising post-business case approval.	Manage scheme costs and benchmark against similar schemes. Scheme to be tendered with other SMaRT and A332 major projects.	Green
Delays in procurement process.	Programme allows adequate time for procurement	Green
Delays in achieving local contribution towards costs.	Ensure SBC funding in place and on-going dialogue with partners.	Green
Unexpected land compensation claims.	Address any claims in accordance with current legislation.	Green
Unexpected lead in time and duration for Statutory Authority Works.	Discuss and place orders early on and allow adequate lead in time in Project Plan.	Green
Utilities alterations greater than expected.	Early consultations with Statutory Authorities.	Green
Changes to design after commencing construction.	Fully complete design prior to commencing construction/ allow for contingency provision.	Green

5. **Programme** 

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	24 July 2014	
Independent Assessment of FBC	October 2014	
Financial Approval from LTB	20 November 2014	
Feasibility work	Completed	
Acquisition of statutory powers	n/a	Completed
Detailed design	March 2015	Completed
Procurement	May 2015	Completed
Start of construction	June 2015	December 2015
Completion of construction	June 2016	December 2016
One year on evaluation	June 2017	December 2017
Five years on evaluation	June 2021	December 2021

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme		
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.17 Slough: A355 Route	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	£5,800,000	£3,675,000	
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£4,400,000	£2,275,000	

s.106 and similar contributions	£700,000	£700,000	
Council Capital Programme	£700,000	£700,000	
Other	-		
In-kind resources provided	£90,000		
Outcomes			
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	1,260	0	
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	48,000	0	
Housing unit starts	600	0	
Housing units completed	600	0	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	550m	330	
Total length of newly built roads	500m of additional traffic lane	300	
Total length of new cycle ways	Nil	-	
Type of infrastructure	Signalised roundabout, ro improvements	ad widening and bridge	
Type of service improvement	Relieve congestion, reduce journey times, increase journey reliability		
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	To be determined	-	
Commercial floor space occupied	To be determined	-	
Commercial rental values	To be determined	-	

### 2.19 Bracknell: Town Centre Regeneration Infrastructure Improvements

Highlights of progress since July 2016			
Full financial approval in December 2015			
Project underway and on programme			

#### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1. The scheme aims to bring forward transport infrastructure improvements linked to the town centre regeneration, and compliment them further with behaviour change initiatives. Crucially, leading stakeholders in the town centre regeneration, which already has planning consent, have given a strong indication that securing this funding will reduce the joint financial burden, kick-start the development and deliver at least 3,540 retail and leisure jobs for local people.
- 1.2. Schemes included within this project will benefit from other improvements secured through the Growth deal and other Government initiatives such as the Local Pinch Point Funding and Local Sustainable Transport Fund. These include a number of major junctions within Bracknell and also the securing of funds towards delivering the authority's Intelligent Transport Systems strategy. A network management approach has been adopted that looks at improving the network as a whole through the use of Urban Traffic Management & Control. It is this approach that will allow us to achieve improved journey times at key junctions at a much reduced cost, improving accessibility and providing much better value for money

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Scheme is well under way and on all Local Growth Deal funding elements have been completed.
- 2.2. Work continues on the overall regeneration which on programme to be complete and ready for business in 2017.

# 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme on the basis of our unapproved funding profile.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP	2,000,000						2,000,000
Local Growth Deal	2,000,000		_	_	_	_	2,000,000
Local contributions							
from	_	_	_	_	_	_	
- Section 106							
agreements	-	_		_	-	_	_
- Council							
Capital	1,000,000	3,382,000	-	_	-	_	4,382,000
Programme							
- Other							
sources	-	_	_	_	-	-	
<b>Total Scheme Cost</b>	3,000,000	3,382,000	-	-	-	-	6,382,000

#### 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk
That the overall cost of the scheme	Detailed BOQ with Effective Site and contract
exceeds the funding available	management
Statutory undertakers C4 cost estimates significantly exceed C3 cost estimates	Liaise with statutory undertakers and early commission of C4 estimates
A delay on the development impacting on the need for improvements and delaying the programme	Liaison with developers and review agreement re programme
Unexpected need for additional Temporary Traffic Management increasing costs	Liaison with Traffic Management section and early quantification of TM cost
Slower construction of the road due to physical constraints	Early engagement and partnership working with key interested parties such as the environment agency.

#### 5. **Programme**

Task	Original Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	March 2015	
Independent Assessment of FBC	October 2015	
Financial Approval from LTB	November 2015	
Feasibility work	November 2014	
Acquisition of statutory powers	Not needed	
Detailed design	March 2015	
Procurement	Developer s278 agreement	
Start of construction	Main TC Regen Works April 2015	
Completion of construction	April 2017	
One year on evaluation	April 2018	
Five years on evaluation	April 2022	

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme		
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.19 Bracknell: Town Centre Regeneration Infrastructure Improvements	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	£6,382,000	£3,500,000	
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£2,000,000	£2,000,000	
s.106 and similar contributions			
Council Capital Programme	£4,382,000	£1,500,000	
Other			
In-kind resources provided			
Outcomes			
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	3,540		
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	270,000		
Housing unit starts	1,000		

Housing units completed	1,000	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention		
Transport		
Outputs		
Total length of resurfaced roads	Approximately 3000m of resurfaced road	3000m
Total length of newly built roads	Approximately 50m of newly built road.	50m
Total length of new cycle ways	Approximately 650-700m of new cycleways adjacent to proposed link road.	400m
Type of infrastructure	Improved accessibility to new development Underway	
Type of service improvement	Unlocking proposed developr Underway	nent.
Outcomes		
Follow on investment at site	Work underway to determine value	
Commercial floor space occupied	Work underway to determine figures	
Commercial rental values	Work underway to determine value	

### 2.21 Slough: Langley Station Access Improvements

Highlights of progress since July 2016				
Discussions with rail partners on coordination of scheme with other infrastructure projects in				
Langley area.				
Outline design complete				
Business case submitted to WYG – full approval is recommended elsewhere on this agenda				

#### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1. This is a scheme to improve station facilities at Langley and enhance access to the station from the surrounding area. Activities will include new station buildings, lifts and enhancements to the station entrances and parking. Improvements will be made to pedestrian, cycling, and bus facilities. Better information and signage will be provided and measures to enhance the safety and security of the station.
- 1.2. The scheme is aimed at preparing the station for the enhanced travel opportunities that will arise when Crossrail services begin in 2019. Some short term works are being undertaken at Langley as part of Network Rail's electrification programme and further investment has been committed by the DfT towards improving accessibility. Rail for London is planning station enhancements in connection with the Crossrail programme and First Great Western retains an interest in station infrastructure improvements as incumbent train operating company.
- 1.3. This scheme will add value to these rail industry plans by upgrading access to the station from the surrounding area.

# 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Discussions are being held between the Council and its rail partners to coordinate project planning and design work with the aim of delivering the scheme to build on and take advantage of rail investment commitments. Detailed proposals are being drawn up by both parties taking account of other rail proposals in the Langley area: the Western Rail Link to Heathrow scheme and potential relocation of the Heathrow Express depot. Public consultation will follow.
- 2.2. WYG are being consulted on business case development bearing in mind that the scheme is a 'hybrid' involving both the BLTB value for money assessment and Network Rail's own processes.
- 2.3. The scheme requirements are being finalised and the development of the business case is currently in progress and should be ready for the November BLTB.
- 2.4. The scheme business case has been submitted to WYG for comment, and has been recommended for full approval.

### 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme with £1,500,000 coming from Growth Deal 2 announced in January 2015. The bulk of the local contribution will come from rail partners made up of the DfT (funding for accessibility); Network Rail and Rail for London (Crossrail); and First Group (train operating company). The funding for the scheme is set out on the basis of our unapproved funding profile.

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP			1,500,000			_	1,500,000
Local Growth Deal	_	_	1,500,000	_	_	_	1,300,000
Local contributions							
from							
- S.106 agreements	-	-	50,000	_	_	_	50,000
- Council Cap Prog	-	-	-	_	-	_	-
- Other sources	-	-	3,500,000	_	-	_	3,500,000
<b>Total Scheme Cost</b>	-	-	5,050,000	-	-	-	5,050,000

# 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk	Status
1 Unfavourable response to wider public consultation.	Public consultation and close working with Ward Members and NAGs. On-going dialogue with planning officers to address likely concerns.	Amber
2 Difficulty in coordinating the design and delivery of the scheme with the Crossrail programme.	Close working with Network Rail, First Great Western and Rail for London.	Amber
3 Higher than expected costs	Financial and project management.	Amber
4 Delays in procurement process	Programme allows sufficient time for process.	Amber

5. Programme

1 Togrammo				
Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)		
Programme Entry Status	March 2015 BLTB			
Independent Assessment of FBC	October 2015	May 2016		
Financial Approval from LTB	November 2015	November 2016		
Feasibility work	September 2015	December 2015		
Acquisition of statutory powers	n/a			
Cabinet approve scheme	January 2016	January 2017		
Detailed design	Summer 2016			
Procurement	Autumn 2016			
Start of construction	January 2017	April 2017		
Completion of construction	March 2018			
One year on evaluation	March 2019			
Five years on evaluation	March 2023			

# 6. Growth Deal Reporting Framework

6.1. The following table is an extract from the Growth Deal reporting matrix. The entries made here will be reported on a project by project basis.

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme			
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.21 Slough: Langley Station Access Improvements	27 October 2016		
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date		
Inputs				
Expenditure	£5,050,000	0		
Funding breakdown				
Local Growth Deal	£1,500,000	0		
s.106 and similar contributions	£50,000	0		
Council Capital Programme				
Other	£3,500,000	0		
In-kind resources provided	To be inserted			
Outcomes				
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	-	-		

Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	-	-
Housing unit starts	500	0
Housing units completed	500	0
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to the intervention		
Transport		
Outputs		
Total length of resurfaced roads	-	-
Total length of newly built roads	-	-
Total length of new cycle ways	-	-
Type of infrastructure	Station enhancements an realm improvements	d local highway and public
Type of service improvement	Preparations for Crossrail and better access to station	
Outcomes		
Follow on investment at site	To be determined	-
Commercial floor space occupied	To be determined	-
Commercial rental values	To be determined	-

# 2.22 Slough: Burnham Station Access Improvements

Highlights of progress since July 2016		
Business case now being considered by independent assessors.		
Design completed and awaiting pricing by contractor		

#### 1. The Scheme

- 1.1. This is a scheme to improve station facilities at Burnham and enhance access to the station from the western part of the Borough, including Slough Trading Estate, and neighbouring areas of South Buckinghamshire. Activities will include new station buildings, lifts, enhancements to the station entrances and parking. Highway improvements and traffic management measures will be carried out to achieve better access for pedestrians, cyclists, buses and general traffic.
- 1.2. The scheme is aimed at preparing the station for the enhanced travel opportunities that will arise when Crossrail services begin in 2019. Some short term works have been undertaken at Burnham as part of Network Rail's electrification programme and further investment is committed towards improving accessibility through the DfT Access for All Fund. Rail for London is planning station enhancements in connection with the Crossrail programme and First Great Western retains an interest in station infrastructure improvements as incumbent train operating company.
- 1.3. This scheme will add value to these rail industry plans by upgrading access to the station from the surrounding area.

### 2. Progress with the scheme

- 2.1. Discussions are being held between the Council and its rail partners to coordinate project planning and design work with the aim of delivering the scheme as early as possible to build on and take advantage of rail investment commitments. Detailed proposals are being drawn up by both parties. The Council is carrying out an experimental order on the highway aspects of the scheme this is due to start in October.
- 2.2. WYG have been consulted on business case development bearing in mind that the scheme is a 'hybrid' involving both the BLTB value for money assessment and Network Rail's own processes. The business case will be brought to the March 2016 meeting of the BLTB.
- 2.3. The final design has been through public consultation and is now being prepared for award to the civil works contractor.

# 3. Funding

3.1. The following table sets out the funding for the scheme with £2,000,000 coming from the Expanded Growth Deal announced in January 2015. The bulk of the local contribution will come from rail partners made up of DfT (Access for All fund); Network Rail and Rail for London (Crossrail); and First Group (train operating company).

Source of funding	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Total
Amount from LEP		2,000,000					2,000,000
Local Growth Deal	-	2,000,000	_	-	-	_	2,000,000
Local contributions							
from							
- S106							
agreements	_	•	-	_	_	-	-
- Council Cap		100,000	100.000				100,000
Prog	_	100,000	_	_	_	_	100,000
- Other sources	-	4,150,000	_	-	-	_	4,150,000
Total Scheme		6 250 000					6,250,000
Cost	_	6,250,000	_	_	_	_	0,230,000

# 4. Risks

4.1. The key risks on delivering this Programme Entry scheme and how they will be managed are set out in the table below

Risk	Management of risk	Status
1 Unfavourable response to wider public consultation.	Public consultation and close working with Ward Members and NAGs. On-going dialogue with planning officers to address likely concerns.	Green
2 Difficulty in co-ordinating the design and delivery of the wider access proposals with Crossrail programme.	Close working with Network Rail, First Great Western and Rail for London.	Amber
3 Additional car parking could require substantial earthworks and vehicular access could prove difficult.	Detailed engineering investigations and exploration of alternative options.	Amber
4 Objections to proposed traffic management measures.	Early engagement with stakeholders to address likely issues.	Green
5 Higher than expected costs.	Financial and project management.	Amber
6 Delays in procurement process.	Programme allows sufficient time for process.	Amber

5. Programme

Task	November 2014 Timescale	November 2016 Timescale (where changed)
Programme Entry Status	March 2015 BLTB	
Independent Assessment of FBC	June 2015	Started October 2015
Financial Approval from LTB	July 2015	March 2016
Feasibility work	May 2015	September 2015
Acquisition of statutory powers	n/a	
Cabinet approve scheme	September 2015	January 2016
Detailed design	Autumn 2015	July 2016
Procurement	Autumn 2015	September 2016
Start of construction	January 2016	December 2016
Completion of construction	March 2017	
One year on evaluation	March 2018	
Five years on evaluation	March 2022	

# 6. Growth Deal Reporting Framework

6.1. The following table is an extract from the Growth Deal reporting matrix. The entries made here will be reported on a project by project basis.

Growth Deal Schemes:	Transport scheme		
Thames Valley Berkshire LEP	2.22 Slough: Burnham Station Access Improvements	27 October 2016	
1. Core Metrics	Planning Numbers	Actual to date	
Inputs			
Expenditure	£6,250,000	0	
Funding breakdown			
Local Growth Deal	£2,000,000	0	
s.106 and similar contributions			
Council Capital Programme	£100,000	£100,000	

Other	£4,150,000	0	
In-kind resources provided			
Outcomes			
Planned Jobs connected to the intervention	-	-	
Commercial floor space constructed (square metres)	-	-	
Housing unit starts	-	-	
Housing units completed	-	-	
2. PROJECT SPECIFIC OUTPUTS AND			
OUTCOMES - to be collected where relevant to			
the intervention			
Transport			
Outputs			
Total length of resurfaced roads	-	-	
Total length of newly built roads	-	-	
Total length of new cycle ways	-	-	
Type of infrastructure	Station enhancements and local highway and public realm improvements		
Type of service improvement	Preparations for Crossrail and better access to station		
Outcomes			
Follow on investment at site	To be determined	-	
Commercial floor space occupied	To be determined	-	
Commercial rental values	To be determined	-	